


the **FALL**



&

RISE

of ABRAHAM'S kin

Computer-Free Artwork Inc.

THE FALL AND RISE OF ABRAHAM'S KIN

by Adam de Witt

Copyright: © 2000

Copyright is the Lord's as the earth and the fullness thereof are His. Any copying must be done in the light of His Law, and include the entire work, including the original source address, and details of the group doing the copying. With copying, do you wish to be hurtful or helpful to Jesus' Kingdom.

**Elijah Ministry
PO Box 3
NEWSTEAD TAS 7250**

available from:
**Christian Identity Ministries
PO Box 146, CARDWELL
QLD 4849 Australia**

WHAT'S INSIDE

Chapters

1. Foreword
2. The Fall of Celtic Middle Asia
3. The Fall of Celtic India
4. The Lot of the Chinese-Celtic Remnant
5. The Dale-Folk, The Kalash
6. Finding the Ethnic Launch Pad
7. Links by Way of the Tongue
8. Why the Old White Empires Fell
9. Back to Basics
10. The Wickedness of Gilgal
11. The Return of Abraham's Kin but Under Gilgallian Rule
12. The Pudding Test
13. How do We Know When a Deliverer is Due?
14. Abraham's Seed, Now and Forever?
15. To Whom Does Grace Abound?

Chapter 1. Foreword

There always seems to be something to write about although I never know beforehand what it will be. God always finds something and always the subject is thought provoking. The following subjects are those that really ought to have our whole nation thinking. Of course, the 'thought-shapers' (Media, churches, schools) have their pet subjects all worked out, so no chance (yet) of a ground swell fascination for things other than their themes. Nevertheless, God uncovers things for those who have eyes to see and ears to hear.

Once in every several weeks I go along to a Bible study group, where, on one occasion a certain student told me of a wonderful find a friend of his came across whilst working overseas. "This friend I know called Peter heard about fair-skinned tribes living in the Hindu Cush, not far from the Tarim Basin," he said, "Some say that the Tarim Basin was the site of the Noachic flood." So I asked him if he could contact this friend to get me more details. While this was going on another friend phoned me and asked "Have you heard of the Urumchi Mummies?" He began telling me about an article he read in a weekend edition of one of the national papers, some radio interviews he heard and a recent book he bought about these mummies. The book outlines the mummies as looking Nordic or Celtic and that they were buried north of the Tarim Basin in western China. Some of the mummies are over 3000 years old.

The writer of the book as well as the media were guessing as to what had become of the race of people of whom these mummies belonged. Nor, it seemed, could they work out why their culture died and if descendants live today .. if so, then where are they?

The writer made it clear that these finds will challenge historians to rethink the ethnic history of Asia. Interestingly, only months before the 'Mummy Book' was released, this Peter, (the student's friend) discovered lost tribes that looked as if they could be descendants of the race that left us the 'Urumchi' mummies. This is very thrilling! So many folks make such a big deal when someone finds a primitive non-white (*zûwr* - racial alien) tribe in the jungle ...ok... but 'Peter's find' is special. The tribes he stumbled upon may not only be linked to middle Asian Celtic mummies, but they are also our kin! Kin whom the world knows nothing of, kin who know nothing of us, kin who have never heard the gospels, who are not in Babylon, who are self-sufficient in a

green Eden surrounded by towering barren mountains and dusky, hostile nations who greatly outnumber them. They live as their forefathers did some 2000 years ago.

Besides my own research, in this book I will also highlight parts of the book on the mummies and, I bring in details of Peter's astounding find.

In the book of Judges (Chapter 6), God gives the Israelites a history lesson before He delivers our Israelite forebears out of their bondage. It seemed even back then they forgot their identity. The *Christian Identity* 'spirit' is an Elijah work that strives to teach our folk to know who they are, who brought them into bondage and who will deliver them out of it. This Elijah work relies on things 'our God' uncovers for our learning. This means that much was hidden for centuries, awaiting the time when it is most needed; needed, to be part of God's plan to 'hit' the world with absolute truth. Today we say '*like a ton of bricks,*' in John's day they said '*great hail fell on men the weight of a talent*' to outline how truth will sweep the world - Rev 16:21

One of the great truths is the revealing of the sons of God, in other words, uncover or identify just who are God's servant folk: just who is true Israel.

Finding Israel also highlights the need to remember God's Laws. This is the Elijah work in a nut shell. It is dealt with in many chapters in the Holy Writ, such as in Malachi 4:4 - finding the servant nation, their calling and destiny leads up to the second work of Christ, reaching its fullness.

This book will help Christians become aware of identifying who is and who isn't 'kin' and the importance thereof. The very word 'kin' shares a common root with the words 'gene', 'genetics', 'generation' and the poorly understood word 'gentile'. As our specie (specie: sort begets sort) becomes a minority-specie in a sea of non-Israelites, it becomes clear that the 'Word' needs to go out to all of what is left of the nations of Israel. (Israelites do not beget Negroes or Asians therefore they are a specie separate and distinct from other forms of homo-erectus.) The history of our specie is cycle after cycle of dominion growth followed by decline typified by ethnic cleansing carried out against our specie. Our specie (folk, kin) would gain vast areas of land only to lose

it all to people not our kin.

We begin this book with an account based on ‘Peter’s’ find and the Urumchi mummies. When coupled, we uncover a history of a sudden rise of a folk who carved out an indigenous homeland for themselves but only to find themselves (centuries later) to be the victims of barbarious waves of ethnic cleansing in which only a small remnant lived on in a forgotten part of the world. This history, to my great surprise, only helps to back-up the knowledge we have, that our Celto-Saxon links do go back to the Middle East and to the Biblical Abraham.

Adam de Witt

Chapter 2. The Fall of Celtic Middle Asia

Long before the Romans and Greeks were setting up their rickes (empires) and when the cradle of western civilization in Mesopotamia was waning, whites (whose clothing seemed almost Celtic) were migrating and settling on vast tracks of land in central Asia and the Asian sub-continent. Their settlements were thriving at the time when Abraham was fleeing a declining Chaldia (declining because of muticulturalism in which the white Chalds were mingling with the Asiatic Sumerians). These white, eastern homelands (around 2000BC) were way to the east, in what is today Western China. The Chinese did not move into the area until 1000BC, some 2000 years AFTER white settlement! These whites were Abraham’s kin folk. In other words, they were of the same specie. These far eastern whites became known as the Tokharians.

The size of land they held was not simply a farm or homestead here and there. It was vast. The Tokharian land stretched some 500 miles (about 750kms) west from where now stands the Jade Gate of the Great Wall of China, along northern parts of the Tarim Basin. As no one else lived there before, these Tokharian whites could rightfully be called indigenous to the area larger than the state of Victoria or Great Britain.

Wall paintings found in caves in the area from 900AD show the Tokharians to be alive and well after 3000 years of settlement. In the paintings we see men with red beards, rosy cheeks and green eyes. At the time this was painted, European whites were on the threshold of what

is called 'modern history', when William the Plunderer was about to set off to over-run England to set up central government. White Europe was in an upheaval, elsewhere our racial kin were already comfortably settled for centuries in western China! Yet today there is no trace of these folk left. The area is now populated by non-whites.

What happened to the Tokharians? Ethnic Cleansing! Wild and fierce tribes of mongoloid blood called the Xiong-nu began to sweep down upon the Tokharians and the neighboring Chinese. This prompted the Emperor of the Qin Dynasty around 200BC (China takes its name from Qin) to order the building of the Great Wall of China. (contrary to popular belief, it can not be seen from outer space)

The Xiong-nu swept down wave after wave from the north, chasing away the Tokharians, the Asian-Celts. Once, the Tokharians were mighty but somehow they became weak. When the Xiong-nu over ran them, the Celtic Tokharian king was taken, killed and his skull used as a drinking cup. These barbarous Xiong-nu later became known as the Huns. (There are many Neo-Anti-Semites who still ignorantly or deliberately refer to the Germans as Huns. The Germans are a Saxon, i.e.. Semitic folk, they are not Mongoloid. Some British-Israelites and those of the Armstrong sect should know better. Sadly they take their cue from the Jews, many of whom actually have mongoloid blood in their veins, so guess who is the modern Hun?)

After some 2000 years of skirmishing, the Huns won in 1260AD ruling the area from China to the Black Sea spanning some 3500 miles. Only pockets of Tokharians stayed behind to survive in the area. Most survivors were forced to flee hundreds of miles west into Bactria (Northern Afghanistan today).

Chapter 3. The Fall of Celtic India

Bactria was then a white nation. So too was its western neighbor Parthia (Northern Iran and Turkmenistan today) and its southern neighbor Gedrosia (Pakistan today). East of Gedrosia was Aryan (or white) controlled India. In India, by 1000BC intermarriage between white Aryans and black Dravidians was wide spread. This multicultural zeal was beginning to ethnically cleanse the whites from India through rampant


hybridization. To stem the ethnic doom, the Aryan system of a divided society (in order of highest rank: priest, master of ceremonies, warrior-hunters, farmer, slave artisan) was transferred to include degrees of ethnic purity or degrees of admixture. This became known as the Caste system.

The Caste system mirrored the Aryan system: Brahmans (priesthood), Ksatriyas (Soldiers), Vaisyas (farmers and traders), Sundras (Serfs) and lastly the Unclean or Untouchables (the darkest of human types). Thus whites were able to stave off the inevitable for a while by way of 'tiered-apartheid'. God's Word however demands the *removal* of the heathen - the removal did not happen. The Caste system was a compromise, the white man always favoring cheap labor.

During this time around 450BC, Hadassah or Esther lived in the land of exile (Esther means star; E-star). Most Bibles call these Israelites, *Jews* by way of poor translating. To overcome confusion the word '*Jew*' should have been rendered as *Judean*, i.e.. Israelites from the kingdom of Judea. The empire in which Esther and her captive Israelites lived was white. It stretched from Africa to India (Esther 1:1). The Persian king was also white and the virgins he gathered into his palace are outlined as 'fair'. The Hebrew word used here means 'fair'. Fair is from the French tongue, it means 'blond'.

The verse '*for fear of the Jews they became Jews*' is sadly taken out of context by most Identity preachers. It simply means that for fear of the Judean-Israelites those in this empire converted to Israelite Law. They were allowed to because they were of the same race, they were the wild grapes that were lawfully allowed to be grafted in. This is not about conversion to Judaism, nor is it about race-mixing. It's about Proto Celts coming to God by way of Israelite-Judean Celts.

By 320BC Chandragupta founded a dynasty that covered most of northern India. Because the non-whites were not driven out, the non-whites supported moves to drive the whites out. India's caste system of racial separateness was met with many colored and white proselyte foes such as Chandragupta's grandson Asoka. (Richard Hoskins has much to say about him. For further study I urge you to get his book "*In the Beginning*". Asoka was a convert to Buddhism. Buddhism was soaked up into Hinduism. Buddhism was against the caste system. Asoka was a



This ancient painting from India is about the coming of Alexander the Great but it tells far more... it shows that India once had an original white population that clearly welcomed a multi-racial society. With hindsight we now see that such a social programme spelt genocide for those whites... for, where are they now?

multicultural supremacist, a sort of free-mason of his day. The nation being multiracial therefore being multicultural was divided against itself. It is hard to work out if Asoka was an Aryan or a Mamzer (Mixed Blood), but he showed all the shrewdness of a wolf. He only became a pacifist after he had overrun all of India. Then he set about promoting the 'soft' genocide of all whites by way of international multiculturalism (or international socialism of the day). He sent 'missionaries' of this faith of "Universal Reconciliation" to Kashmir, Ceylon, Burma, Egypt and Greece.

Those whites who carried on living in India gave their creative skills to the nation, being the thrust behind its great architectural monuments. But their numbers were dwindling under the weight of multiracial, universal reconciliation. Race mixing had taken its toll. Greatly weakened, in time, they no longer were able to yield their strength to India. The land became weaker by its melting pot decadence.

Muslim armies were on the move over-running one white land in Asia after another. By the 8th year hundred, India's melting pot could not withstand the tide. In 1526 the very Asians that drove out the Tokharians were now able, under their leader Babar, to take India thereby founding the Mongol Empire. White India became a memory. Asoka's melting pot ideal reduced this greatest white power house to become what today would be called a 3rd world nation.

India sunk into a dark age of rot, inefficiency and poverty. Like Egypt before, it was robbed of its builders, never again to rise from the dust. The odd temple would be built thereafter but that is all.

Chapter 4. The Lot of the Chinese-Celtic Remnant

What then of Parthia, Bactria, Gedrosia? Asoka's universal reconciliation was very successful in all these empires. The whites of these lands forsook what they had left of God's laws to be holy (Holy=Saxon for apartness or separate). And so God used the heathen to foreclose on those who rebelled against His laws.

The Huns were like an ethnic bulldozer, moving so far to the west that they drove a branch of the Scythians (another set of white tribes)

into Bactria around 130BC. These Scythians (by living in a land that had taken on Greek customs) had become 'hellenized'. Being hellenized meant, to take on Asoka's melting pot ideas (Bactria became hellenic due to the melting pot supremacist Alexander the Great). These eastern, hellenized Scythians (white proselytes of Asoka) founded the Kushan empire. It was during these events that remnants of the shattered Chinese-Celts, the Tokharians sought shelter amidst their kin in other white lands in middle Asia.

Renowned for their wine culture, some Tokharians migrated almost directly southward from their homeland into northern Pakistan where wine is part of life to this day. Other Tokharians, undergoing Hunnic genocide, were driven into southern Bactria, to what is now middle Afghanistan. The Muslim (Arabic) invaders coming from the west were cruel, but at the same time, they drove back the Mongoloid westward expansion, at least for a while, with Asian Celts being the 'piggy in the middle'. In fact, the Arabs drove the Asian Huns back as far as Turkistan, i.e. the former Tokharian homeland. Without laws to forbid hybridization, these Arabs mingled with the remaining Tokharian whites and yellow Huns to form, around 800AD, the people of modern Turkistan, north of Tibet. Less than 200 years later (1000AD), now known as the Turks, this nation of mixed bloods would push westward eventually wiping out Constantinople and the Balkans in Europe.

The Arabic invasions, in the meantime, saw to the ethnic cleansing of white Bactria, Partia and Gedrosia. By 800AD white Middle Asia/Eastern Asia Minor was no more. These white empires became lost to our folk. even to this day. Not all whites were killed, many were spared as long as they converted to Islam and shared their wealth and daughters. (The curses of Deut 28:32 *"Thy sons and thy daughters shall be given to another people."*) Those whites who refused to convert were called 'Kafirs', i.e. idolators, pagans or infidels; worthy of death by Islamic laws. They were the white separatists of their day.


Those who converted became proselytes to Islam; they became twice the children of damnation. Being universal reconciliationists, they teamed up with the dusky Arabs to slay their white kin whom they saw as separatists. The white converts to Islam were worse than the heathen for killing their own for the sake of the *zûwr*.

Proselyzed whites proved more cruel than the dusky races in their persecution. The separatist Tokharians were driven into the fastness-like (but very harsh) mountain land around Chitral in northern Pakistan. They could not hope to find any help from the whites in India, for these had long before proselyzed themselves to the universal reconciliation ideas of Asoka. They were on their own.


Chapter 5. The Dale Folk, The Kalash

The Tokharians dwindled in numbers due to the many ongoing

The Kalash, a remnant of all that is left of the whites that were the first folk of middle Asia & the Indian Sub-continent



onslaughts. By now they were driven into a cluster of far-flung dales, deep in the Hindu Kush, to be forgotten by the world. These Tokharians became known as 'those from down in the dales', in their own tongue the title is "Kalashe". The Kalash now only numbering 2000 to 3000 strong, have rosy cheeks, green, blue or light brown eyes; hair brown, red and blond. They have been safe and cut off from the mixed multitudes in their dales to this day.



Map showing the Fertile Crescent, a livable belt in a dry region, that allowed the spread of the 'White Chaldeans' to cross over it, forming a sort of Ethnic springboard.

Chapter 6. Finding the Ethnic Launch Pad

At this point it pays to find out how the Tokharians began their existence and where they first sprang from. Yes, we outlined the history of their homeland north of Tibet, but how did they get there? For that matter, what were the beginnings of the now extinct whites of Bactria, Parthia, Gedrosia and India. If you listen to most scholars, they will try to tell you that all Caucasians (whites) came from the Caucasus Mountains. Elijah (Identity) Christians of course know that the Caucasus Mountains were only a stepping stone for ONE branch of the many throngs of white migrants who left the Middle East. We know that the 12 lost tribes of Israel followed a number of ways that, in time, took them to Europe. One way was through the well-founded route through the Cau-

casus. But many Elijah (Identity) Christians forget about the earlier white migrants which I call proto-Israelites, Proto-Celts or Proto-Saxons.

These whites lived before Jacob was born, after all, his children had to wed into the right stock seeing that God forbids race mixing, hybridization, i.e. genocide. Abraham was a Chaldean; he and his Chaldean kin were Proto-Israelites. These Chalds or Chaldeans were those who migrated up the fertile crescent formed largely by the Euphrates and Tigris Rivers.

Around 2000BC, Abraham was called to migrate away from Chaldea. The land had yielded to 'Universal Reconciliation', the distorted idea that God called all races into one forgiven fold. And so the white Chaldeans 'reconciled' with the yellow Sumerians. Abraham way-fared through the well-populated fertile crescent, populated by Proto-Israelites, being Chaldic settlers, being Abraham's kin. For their part, many of the Chalds migrated in all directions, but usually after they trekked halfway up the Euphrates to reach a popular 'ethnic launch-pad'. The area at the heart of the 'Launch-pad' was alive with people in need of many things. Trade leads to settlements which, in time, become service centers.

These busy areas became the chief towns of Assyria, Nineveh (town of fish), Arbela and Assur. West of Assur lay the routes that would take colonizers to Anatolia (now Turkey), from there into southern Europe or down into northern Africa. Chalds migrating into these directions were the builders and founders of Egypt, Rome and Greece.

Directly north of Assur lay the route through the Caucasus. Those Chalds that went that way, and then west into Europe, became the Bronze Age Celts. All this was taking place well before the Israelite captivity. (Celt, pronounced Kelt is from the word Chald.) The Bronze Age lasted from 2000BC to ± 1200 BC. Celts of that era gave their name to many of the areas they settled. In effect, each named territory means Chaldea; i.e. Gaul, Wales (the 'W' having had a guttural pronunciation), Waal (in Holland), Calicia (in Spain), Galatia (Turkey), and in southern Poland- Galicia (Galych or Halych. The 'H' being also spoken gutturally at times), hence the Austrian towns Hallstatt and Hallein, the German town Halle, the Belgian town Halle, the Swedish region Halland, Hel-

vetia for Switzerland and of course, Holland all mean Gal-land or Cal(d)ia or Celt-ia or Chaldea.

Writer of the book **“The Mummies of Urumchi”**, Elizabeth Wayland Barber, is not too sure if the root word to the name is simply ‘Celt’ (the meaning she doesn’t outline) or ‘Hal’ denoting salt (because some areas had salt mines). It would be interesting to know if salt was mined in old Chaldea, if not then clearly the meaning of areas or towns with variations of ‘Hal’ (‘Hol’ or ‘Hel’) can not be salt. This is because most of the areas bearing variations of the name had nothing to do with salt. Nevertheless, it may well be that once the term ‘Chal(d)’ had that secondary meaning of salt. Interestingly, Jesus referred to his followers as being the ‘salt of the earth’. Strong’s Concordance shows the root of Chald is Kesed: a kin of Abraham. This means that all lands with variations of Chald as seen above such as Halle, Holland, and so on mean, **LAND OF ABRAHAM’S KIN!**


So we see that the Chaldeans were Proto-Celts. Their very names Celt, Chald (from ‘Ke(s)ed’) means Abraham’s kin. Being Abraham’s kin they are thus also Proto-Israelites, Proto-Egyptians, Proto-Caucasians, Proto-Romans, Proto-Indians, Proto-Tokharians, Proto-Saxons and so on. The ethnic Launch-pad of all these many branches of this one race is today found in northern Iraq (the so-called no fly zone). This region was not only the launch-pad to go west and north to settle Europe and northern Africa, but also to go east, a point overlooked by so many. Directly east of the ‘Ethnic Launch-pad’ lay the old trade routes taking wayfarers to the towns Bisutun and Ecbatana (now in western Iran). Bisutun is well known to Elijah (Identity) Christians because of the Behistun Rock. These towns were most likely founded by the earliest settlers of Abraham’s racial kin. Many Israelites leaving the 700BC Assyrian captivity followed this same route that was carved out many year-hundreds (ages) earlier, as the inscription of the Behistun Rock bears witness to.

Establishment ‘scholars’ opposed to our Israelite identity have found themselves in a pickle over the Urumchi mummies. They can ignore the Behistun Rock and so many other finds, but the mummies force them into a corner. Usually they call Europe the Celtic cradle, but when pressed they will say (reluctantly) it is the Caucasus region, but

they never ask how the Celts got to the Caucasus. Elizabeth Wayland Barber is also in a pickle. For all their studying and research, secular scholars, without looking to God's Word, are simply fumbling, they hit upon something, build up a theory, then God clogs up the machinery of study. *"Thus saith the Lord...I am the Lord that maketh all things;...That frustrateth the tokens of the liars, and maketh diviners mad; that turneth wise men backward, and maketh their knowledge foolish."* Isaiah 44:24-25.

Because the Tokharians were Proto-Celts, they shared much in common with European Celts, they even wore tartan cloth! But establishment scholars (of which Barber is one) point out that the Indo-European cultures were dependant upon the horse. Horse culture was unknown in the Caucasus. Therefore if the Tokharians came from the Caucasus how come they brought horse culture into middle Asia? Horse culture was however well known and highly developed in the Fertile Crescent in the Middle East, just south of the Caucasus. Establishment scholars are now split over just where these Tokharians and other Proto-Celtic, Indo-European came from; the Caucasus or the Middle East. The humble horse and the 3000 year old mummies make it clear that our folk-home-land was not the Caucasus, but south thereof. How wonderful God is, he lets the scholars build up whole theories, then shatters them. The eastward treks during the bronze age led to the founding of many Proto-Celtic (Indo-European) empires (i.e. many nations of one race) that covered what is today Iraq, Iran, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Tadjhikistan, Chinese Turkistan, Afghanistan, Pakistan, India and Java. Java is Indo-European for 'barley'. White colonizers from India under Aji Saka landed in Indonesia in 63AD bringing their Sanskrit with them and the Caste System. 'Saka' is simply a form of Isaac as in Saca or Sacson. But as in India, the Caste system was opposed by the Universal Reconciliationists. Hybridization was so great that 'Saka-run' Indonesia crumbled away when Muslims invaded from 1000AD onwards, over-running the last Hindu Kingdom of Mojapahit in 1478. Proto-Israelites covered an area from Portugal to China, and ethnic empire that spanned some 4500 miles!

The wise men that came to see baby Jesus came from the east, but they were white! They were Proto-Celtic, Abraham's kin, therefore




Proto-Israelites. (They were called the 'Magi' [by the translators - the word is not in the text!] an Indo-European word. The word is linked to our words 'mighty' and 'machtig' (Dutch and German).)???

Chapter 7. Links by Way of the Tongue

The forgotten white tribe, The Kalashe, speak an Indo-European tongue to this day. Some Kalashe words: *Lutch-Light*, *dada-dad*, *tchat-shade*, *uk-water* (as in 'Ach in old German town Salz-ach-salt water), *Mai-my*, *Arman Shah*-almighty ruler as in Shah of Iran, *Zar* as in Nebuchadnezzar, *Cae-sar*, *Kay-ser*, *Kie-ser* or in Hebrew 'Sar', *Aram Mos*-meat of an animal sacrifice ('Mos' as in Sanskrit 'Masa', Slavonic-'Meso,' Russian-'Maso,' and English-'Meat'.

Establishment scholars balk at any claims that Indo-European is linked to the Semitic tongue (although the tide may be turning). A language professor/historian at the University of Queensland gave some revealing confessions, "I believe the application of the term 'Semitic' to Arabic, Hebrew, Aramaic, Akkadian and the Ethiopic languages is essentially a modern guess," he wrote. That 'guessing' goes a long way to explain why the establishment calls anyone who is a Jew 'Semitic' when most of them are not. The establishment prefers to guess for the sake of not upsetting the Jews. The professor then writes, "There appears to be quite a lot of quite good material linking Semitic to Indo-European, but a difficulty with it is that it supports two divergent theories of sound equivalences, namely, the so-called emphatic 'K'(also written g) and 'T'(and P?) of Semitic (which) may correspond either 1. to K, T, P... 2.to G, D, B. Since my thesis purported to show the ultimate equivalence of these two sets in Indo-European, perhaps it is not such a hefty objection." What a wonderful confession! Identity Christians have pointed out the similarities between Saxon and Hebrew words for years. Establishment scholars ignored these details and instead looked for objections in sound equivalences hoping to still our claims; now there are no objections! This professor who once objected to the link between Indo-European and Semitic tongues now seems to be able to overcome former problems. All praise to God, I say.



Chapter 8. Why the Old White Empires Fell

The Eastern Proto-Celtic (Abraham's kin) rickes or Empires fell, not because the non-whites were so mighty, but rather, the whites were fools. They relied upon their own wits. Not knowing God's Laws they had no idea how to survive in a world where other races obeyed their 'gods'. Thinking pagan gods could strengthen all races, whites often converted to the pagan gods. For a while whites can live this way but the end thereof is always the grave. *"The lips of a strange (racial alien) woman drop as an honeycomb...but her end is as bitter as wormwood, ... her feet go down to death, her steps take hold on the grave. Lest thou shouldst ponder the path of life, her ways are unstable, thou canst not know them."* Prov 5:3-6. Get It?? The Bible is written to Abraham's kin. God says whites who ponder the ways (beliefs and customs) of non-whites always go to death, never understanding, being unable to understand the colored races. God should know, He made all things.

It was likely by the way Chaldea became a melting-pot that most of Abraham's kin, the Proto-Celts/Proto-Saxons, had forsaken God's Holy Laws and thus they all migrated as pagans. Only some Chaldeans, such as Abraham and some of his descendents would cleave to God's Word, such as Jacob the father of the Israelites. God's Word was not

even taken to heart by most Israelites; the Word only began to spread in earnest after the death of Jesus Christ. Even then, however, the 'word' spread only westward to the European Proto-Celts, and the lost Israelite tribes made up of Celts and Saxons. Paul being forbidden of the Holy Ghost to preach the word in Asia (Acts 16:6).

Without God's Word, which included laws forbidding mixed wedlocks and multi-racial treaties, the eastern whites were easily converted to the philosophies of the pagan non-whites they came in contact with. Most of the Tokharians became Buddhists, other Proto-celts followed Zoroastrianism. Each group in so doing, failed to bring forth the fruit of the kingdom, an ethno-state grounded in God's Laws. Although at times the heathen came against the lands of Abraham's kin to foredo them, the wasting of the lands was already in 'full swing'. The invading hordes were the simply the 'finishing touch'. White-lands were often multi-racial before the hordes from outside could have the might to lay waste our lands.

The 'weakness' of apartheid, just as with the cast system, was that the land was not an ethno-state, rather, the land was multi-racial. That is to say, many races lived in the one land, albeit split into enclaves. The enclaves did not hinder inter-racial deals. Whites would give work to blacks and blacks would buy white goods and services. This 'fellowship of convenience' is the stepping-stone to assimilation. Basically the principle is, if you like them so much, you may as well wed and bed them; this is not to be taken as a blessing but as a curse for having double standards. The next step is that whites became the tail and they, the coloureds, became the head. ***"The stranger that is within thee (in your land) shall get up above thee very high and thou shalt come down very low."*** Deut 28:43. Thus white nations are weakened from within. Those colored people are wittingly or otherwise fifth columnists. Whites end up learning pagan culture, as we see today such as black music; Jazz, Blues, Reggae, Rock & Roll, Pop and so on. Their children learn pagan dances and stories at school. Even childrens' shows such as Play School and Sesame Street are brain-dirtying Saxons so they think like the Earth-People; the 'People of the Earth', the Bible calls them, 'The Beast of the Field'. 'Earth-people' is a term that they themselves have coined, not us. Beast means a created being in Biblical terms; it can apply to animals

and human-types, i.e. the coloureds (non-whites). [as for strangers coming in and taking over, look at the situation in Fiji! Indians trying to take charge through sheer weight of numbers, just like they did in Ceylon]

This is hard for some to swallow but in Jonah 3:8 it is clear that some creatures other than 'man' can pray, cry, repent, put on clothing, understand evil, and have hands! Animals do not have hands nor do any of the above. We can try and train animals, but that does not mean the animals understand evil, have repentance, have hands and do any of the above without our help. ***"But let man and beast be covered with sack-cloth, and cry mightily unto God; yea, let them turn every one from his evil way and from the violence that is in their hands."*** Jonah 3:8. This verse was in reference to Assyria which by Jonah's time was clearly multi-racial. Such a society assimilates and then rules whites until white culture, then white folk themselves are swallowed up. The old Hebrew saying for this is *'a land that eateth up the inhabitants thereof.'* Numbers 13:30.

It is God that causes the heathen to swallow us up, but if we obey God, He will give us the might to swallow them up, i.e. rule them, be the head, then drive them out. ***"..Only rebel not ye against the Lord, neither FEAR ye the people of the land, for they are bread for us; their defence is left from them, and the Lord is with us: FEAR THEM NOT."*** Numbers 14:9. Sadly, like Assyria, (Ethnic Launch-pad) the Eastern Proto-celts made deals with the *zûwr* (alien). They did not know that the wisdom of God's ways is better than weapons of war. Ecc 9:18. Their empires were vast, yet their obedience to God's apartheid-holy laws was but small. God's 'foreclosure' was coming.

God uses the heathen to do this. ***"I(God) will be his father. If he breaks my laws, I will chasten him with the rod of men and with the stripes of the children of men."*** 1 Sam 7:14. Without knowing Abraham to be their kin, they had no hope of surviving. Where there is no hope there is no vision. And so, hucksters with a false vision, such as Asoka, would beguile our Chaldic folk to the grave.

Paul said in Romans 15:4 that ***"whatsoever things were written aforetime (i.e. in the Old Testament) were written for our learning, that we through patience and comfort of Scriptures might have hope."*** This promise of 'hope' was not for everyone, it applied to those Paul called:

Our, Us or We. 'We' who? Who's father is Abraham the Chaldean? Romans 4:1 shows who the '*our*' referred to, for the addressed audience was of Abraham "...*that Abraham our father...*" Paul was saying that it is important to the descendants of Abraham to know the Scriptures (70%Law) in order to have hope. Paul understood what Jesus said when He ordered His disciples to seek out only the lost sheep of Israel. (Matthew 10:5-6) That's why he went to all the nations that were of Israelite stock or of Proto-Israelite stock, such as to Romans, Galatians, Thessalonians, Corinthians and Ephesians. Only once was an Ethiopian mentioned, but remember, Northern Africa was then white, and this man had gone to Jerusalem for the Feast. None other than an Israelite would know of the need to go to Jerusalem for a feast. Paul always used 'brethren' in a racial sense, i.e. kin or those who are genetically-bonded, he explains in Romans 9:3 that brethren means those who are his "*kinsmen according to the flesh.*" Without the Holy Writ and the ensuing hope, the Israelites and Proto-Israelites (Indo-Europeans) are reckoned by God as being on the same footing as all the non Indo-Europeans.

This 'equality' with the colored species meant that there would be nothing to stop hybridization, or nothing to protect the Indo-Europeans against the colored species' onslaughts, as we have afore stated. This is confirmed by Paul, Ephesians 2:11-12 "***Wherefore remember that ye*** (the group in question i.e. Israelites of the scattering) ***being in past times Gentiles*** (gentiles is a poor translation, but it means here to be reckoned as 'mere nations') ***in the flesh...That at that time ye were without Christ*** (anointing), ***being aliens from the commonwealth of Israel*** (they were reckoned as aliens from Israel because of...)...***having no hope, and without God in the world*** (order)." This means that although people may be of the 'right' specie i.e. Israelite or Proto-Israelites (Indo-Europeans), without God (to be 'with God' is to do His will) there is no hope, i.e. no future. Without God's Laws, there is only man's laws. With man's laws we slither down to the equality with other types of man whose hope is not our hope. What is good for them is bad for us, what is good for us is bad for them. To enable them to take our dominion we must lose hope; of what? Of dominion with God, to rule with Christ. If we don't rule, then they will.

Non-Israelites love Universal Reconciliation, an idea that all races

are reconciled to God based on distorting the term 'all creation.'(we will deal with this later) We 'trade-in' authorized rule for genocidal equality. Our folk have been taught to fall for this falsehood and eagerly give away dominion rule for genocidal equality. "*We have sold our birthright for a make-believe heaven, a tin-foil halo, and a harp that's probably made in China*". [a Pete Peters quote]

A shared dominion means they share not only our land but also our sons and daughters with theirs. Jesus said cast not that which is holy to the dogs (Ezra 9:2). Asoka's dream is that every dog must have it's day. Today we call it the melting-pot or multi-culturalism. To a mixed multitude, mixing in other species is no big deal. But to an unmixed people, mixing means the end of their specie. Universal Reconciliation is the stepping stone to genocide of the pure (as history has shown). Israelites and Proto-Israelites have nothing to reconcile with non-Israelites. Our job is to reconcile ourselves ONLY with God and Jesus. That was the true meaning of the day of Atonement.

When our Celto-Saxon, Abrahamic kin reconcile with God, then we are no longer reckoned as equal to the strangers, but called out to be "*freemen with the holy ones, and of the household (i.e. nation) of God.*" Ephesians 2:19. That, yes that, is the kingdom of heaven, an 'Ethno-region grounded in God's will!' Our proto-Israelite, Proto-Celtic, Indo-European kin from Parthia to the Great Wall of China never understood this, never having hope of the heaven potential in their lands and so they lost their dominion and their lives. Sadly the Christian Empires since 30AD, made up of Celts and Saxons (lost Israelites), did not learn a thing about survival with God, for God and by God, other than for a few throughout the ages. Although each Christian ricke (dominion) started out knowing they were heirs of the promise, within a few generations they'd forgotten the importance of knowing their Israelite heritage (comes about in part because the next generation rebels against and does not listen to parents, nor want to carry on the parents traditions and culture). Without knowing this, as we have read from Ephesians, there is no hope. This is why so many feel so hopeless today. God has not fully foreclosed on our people today. The foreclosure had been staved off for a time.

This is because the churches were given time to prove themselves,

as the churches believed they could bring forth the kingdom fruit. Yet in Churchianity-run colony after colony, from the Portuguese to the Spanish to the Dutch and the English, all failed to create Ethno-states grounded in God's Laws. All of South America was opened up by God. He gave men like Cortez the might to destroy the heathen. But the churches chose to try and convert them (which is contrary to God's Laws) rather than drive them out. Now all South America and the Caribbean is like India and is lost to the kingdom and its children, Abraham's kin.

Chapter 9. Back to Basics

Like the Eastern branches of Proto-Celts some 4000 years ago, the Western branches of Indo-Europeans were ready, since the Middle Ages, to build their empires. Instead of being pagan, they'd do so in the name of Jesus Christ. Although they had the Word of God, sadly they refused to apply it in fullness,? only? (or?) in earnest. This in itself proves they were operating under the first and not the second works of Jesus, because the first work is only with the earnest of the Holy Ghost, not the fullness. With earnest, they only had half a hope. Like their 'hopeless' ancient Eastern kin, 'Ethno-lands grounded in God's laws' were not their objective. But unlike the hopeless kin, the western empires did believe in dominion for Jesus. The thing that stopped them from understanding the true point of dominion was that they were taught that all races are to be Christianized. They forgot that Jesus chooses His people, not man. By converting non-Israelites they believed Jesus Christ's dominion would grow. They missed the point that all races belonged to God but only Israel could be redeemed to be subject to Jesus Christ. Jesus' dominion was to be made up of Christianized Israelites only.

How could they give to God what was already His? Other races were not subject to the LAW (Psalm 147:19-20), therefore they could not break the law. Because they never broke the Laws, they never needed to be sold into bondage. Never being sold into the hands of oppressors means they never needed to be bought back, i.e. *redeemed*. Therefore only Israelites needed Jesus to cover (hide) the law-breaking deeds, no

other race had this need. So as not to invalidate Jesus Christ's buying back, Israelites were ordered to go forth and *sin* (break the law, 1 John 3:4) *no more*. The job of Christians is to expand dominion on these grounds.

Jesus' kingdom is made up of a king, (Jesus); His law (God's Laws); dominion (land); with underlings. Well, who are His underlings? Those He died for. Who did He die for? Those who were under the Law. Who were under the Law? **ISRAELITES**.

'Heaven' or 'Kingdom fruit' is made up of Jesus as king with obeying Israelites as His subjects or underlings dwelling and ruling in a land that fits Jesus' needs. The concept seems basic, but has never been understood by churchgoers. Church leaders know of the principle but don't like it. Instead the Church leaders used and still use Asoka's law of all races are equal, calling all races God's children. Those who say otherwise must be tolerated? ... Well no not one bit ... but stilled!

The fact that all races belong to God, does it mean they are His children. My herd of cattle belong to me, my dogs belong to me, but they are not my children (and they are certainly not treated the same!). God is the Father of Israel, only Israelites are called His children. *"O children of Israel...You only have I known of all the families of the earth."* Amos 3:1-2. *"There are many nations...all are His, and over all has He appointed spirits to rule (religions to guide) but over Israel...He alone is their ruler (father)."* Jubilees 15:23. It seems to me the churches had better stone the prophets ... Oh, they already did.

So from this we see that God gave the non-Israelites their own religions. Because these religions were God-given, God wasn't going to let us convert them to the religion He gave us. To do so would insult God -- to say that He doesn't know what's right. Basically it's wolf law for wolves, sheep Law for sheep. Sheep Laws for wolves causes wolves to growl. Indeed look at the fruit of all missionary work, the heathen have returned to their own spirits (that God gave them). And that's the way God wants it *"For all people will walk everyone in the name of his god, and we will walk in the name of the Yahweh OUR God forever."* Micah 4:5. God was not wroth with the heathen. They obey their gods, so He never had to sell them into captivity. Therefore they never needed a redeemer to buy them back. Only Israelites, of all races, rebelled against

their God-appointed life-rules. Therefore, we of all races needed saving. That's why God left the heathen, to have someone to sell us to - into captivity. Yet, stubbornly we refuse to obey God and we convert other heathen races to our churchy 'scam of a religion'. The scam being, we call Jesus king but we never keep His Laws (which are the same as God's Laws). Instead, we choose our own kings and let them MAKE laws! But when they make laws we don't like, then we whinge.

Without Jesus enthroned by European Christians, the European empires fell into the same trap that the Phoenicians did. The 'Barat-Phoenicians' (Israelite stock) teamed up with the 'Kenaaniy-Phoenicians' (Canaanite stock). *They were mingled among the heathen and learned their works.* Psalms 106:35-36. One lot were colonizers by way of settling, the other by way of trade. The latter group did not need Israelites to populate new lands, the coloureds were just as good for trade to them.

The Kenaaniy or Canaanites are (Esau) Edom's kin, i.e. of the Red. Sadly, Israelites always had a froward soft-spot for Edomites. Whenever Israelites went ahead to take dominion, the Edomites followed. Even when the Israelites went to found Jesus' Kingdom on Earth (after the Crucifixion) they let the Edomites in. Revelation 6:2 *"And I saw, and behold a white horse, and he that sat on him had a bow (a bow shoots arrows, arrows are a symbol of sons) and a crown was given unto him and he went forth conquering and to conquer."* Christian soldiers going into the world! *"But then, another horse that was RED and strength was given to him that sat thereon to take peace from the earth, and that they should kill one another."* This represents Esau's sons following hot on Jacob's, 'anointed son's' heels. The kingdom was sown by Christ, but tares were planted therein. The parable of the tares and the wheat is a cross reference to this.

Wheat signifies the church age. Canaanite means: peddler, trafficker, trader or merchant. So in Revelation we see that the dominion folk (the wheat of Israel in the Church Age) were always followed by the traders. When you read on in this chapter of Revelation, you will read that the fruit of this deal results in death for the Israelites. Only the overcomers would be spared, signified in verse 6... *"A measure of wheat...three measures of barley...and see thou hurt not the oil and the*

wine.” Wheat, barley and wine are three types of Israelites, those that are not hurt are those that have the true OIL or the Gas (from Ghost) or Spirit, i.e. fuel that burns to give light. The rest of the Israelites lacked in that fuel. They made pacts, not with God, but with the Canaanites, the merchants. This crowd of Israelites lacked/lack the trust (faith) that Jesus could really be a King and as the weak-minded, Israelite forebears at Gilgal, said we’ll believe it when we see it. So they had to see a king, a man-king. But God expects you to say, “We’ll believe it, then we’ll see it,” that’s how you enthrone King Jesus.

Chapter 10. The Wickedness of Gilgal

God says that all our wickedness stems from Gil-gal. Hosea 9:15. Very few pick up on this verse. With good reason, it would be too upsetting. What happened at Gilgal? Well, Saul was crowned king at Gilgal, starting off the monarchial line that all men of Israel rejoiced in, 1 Samuel 1:15. But this was not a good thing. Because the folk wanted a man-king like the heathen. God did not say “Oh, how quaint.” In 1 Samuel 8:7 we see what God thought of this democratic, citizen-initiated-referendum. Speaking to Samuel, *“Hearken unto the voice of the people... for they have not rejected thee, but they have REJECTED ME...”* Simply choosing a king other than God is a rejection of God, it is thus a rejection of Jesus. This is because Jesus could do only what His Father did, as He was to become the head of the body of overcomer Israelites and the church (bride) Israelites under a new vow (More on that later). Many Christians (and monarchists too) say, well, sure Jesus is King but He is not ruling yet. They can’t see Him rule because they choose other kings. It is not that Jesus doesn’t rule, rather, His underlings are unruly. God did not offer a royal lineage to bless the folk. He gave the monarchial line as a curse. We are told not to learn from the heathen. Our forefathers looked (learned) at the heathen and said, “We want what they have.” Having a man-king monarchy is in fact a heathen practise. Why? Because we copied the heathen. And, having a woman rule is most definitely a curse! (Isaiah 3:12)

Choosing a man-king is also the worst practise of all, It breaks the 1st Commandment not to have other gods (Elohyim, mighty men, kings)

other than the Lord God. That is why Hosea states, and the matter is deadly serious (causing God to HATE, yes, HATE US). Hosea 9:15 ***“ALL their wickedness IS IN Gilgal, for there I HATED THEM (our folk), for the wickedness of their doings (choosing a man-king) I will drive them out of mine house, I will LOVE THEM NO MORE: ALL their princes are revolters.”*** So, no matter how good you think our kings are, God calls them revolters.

You may say, “Aha, princes, not kings.” But wait, the word prince here means kings. It’s from Strongs 8269, ‘Sar’, governor, Lord, ruler. It is the same suffix used in Caesar and Kaiser, and is the same as Zar or Tsar. This is also part of a latter day prophecy concerning Ephraim (English speakers), and only they are left with a monarchy worth speaking of. Although other European Israelite lands have monarchies, the kings are technically dethroned, kept in title only. Holland for instance is a monarchial-republic.

God’s anger at Gilgal to cause Him to HATE us is summed up in 1 Samuel 8:11. ***“This will be the manner of king that shall reign over you; he will take your sons and appoint them for himself, for his chariots and to be his horsemen, and some shall run before his chariots. And he will appoint him captains over thousands and captains over fifties and will set them to plow his ground (the central government system) and to reap his harvest and to make his instruments of wars...And he will take your daughters to be confectionaries and to be cooks and to be bakers (i.e. women go into the workforce). And he will take your fields and your vineyards and your oliveyards even the best of them and give them (theft) to his servants...”*** (such as the foreclosure Laws enforced by Queen Elizabeth 1 resulting in mass poverty, white slavery (the convict system). Even today the royalty live off of the fruits of this land grab. Prince Charles is Britain’s largest land lord. Properties that he rents out are filled by many Saxons whose forebears were disowned of their land to become generations of renters)... ***“And he will take the tenth (meaning tithe or tax) of your seed and of your vineyards and give to his officers (recent pay-rises for politicians), and to his servants (earls, dukes, the Canaanites and assorted ponces)...And he will take your goodliest young men (the prime of our young men were always sent into battle to be slaughtered, and now women too!) and your asses***

(think of assets, no, not baby donkeys but our businesses fuel his system) ***and put them to his work.***"

Monarchists say that the monarchy protects us, God says here in 1 Samuel the opposite. Taking the woman out of households for instance destroys the family not only by removing her, but also because she then believes her husband is no longer the head of the household, which then becomes true! That is to say, she does rule - she wears the pants. The one who pays the woman becomes her head, in this case the king (his/her government-equal opportunity employer!) and his agents the peddler-merchants. Because of this the following curse from God kicks in, "***children are their (the men's) oppressors and WOMEN RULE OVER THEM.***" Isaiah 3:12.

The curse in Samuel also refers to the taking the men, this means that men become chattel, in frith-time (peace-time) they are tax-units, in war-time they are fodder, they are no longer free men, no longer lords of their own estate, no longer kings and priests under Jesus Christ. Indeed, their estates have become the kings (or the 'Sars'), because we see from the above, our lands have become taxable properties; they are 'fee simple'. Councils, state and federal governments have become empowered by the king to levy fees such as the basic council rate, (land tax in the USA). Fees can only be levied on things not fully owned, as in rental or hired goods. This system of rule is called *the wickedness of Gilgal*.

Gilgal is where the man-king monarchy began. Micah 3:9 "***Hear this I pray you, ye heads of the house of Jacob, and princes (Sars) of the house of Israel, that hate judgement and pervert equity. They build up Zion with blood and Jerusalem with lawlessness. The heads thereof judge for reward and the priests thereof teach for hire...***" Here we see Israelite kings, priests and financiers building empires upon the blood of Israelites, not for God, but for their own ends.

Despite this, many Israelite Saxons stay entrenched in the British-Israelite and Armstrong cult doctrine, [as well as most mainline denominations](which ultimately came from the kings themselves, that the kings have a divine right to rule). However, in 1 Samuel we see that their rule is a divine curse!

Often man-king monarchists would point out that King David pleased God. Because of this they will say that a man shall sit on

David's throne for ever. It all sounds cozy, but when likened to 1 Samuel, the tale is the opposite. Is God unstable in His attitude? No, not at all. The issue is God gave us a monarchy to teach us a lesson. God used David, David knew it too, and thanked God. Unlike most Israelites, David had a heart for God and for that reason God promised him a great lineage. But that great lineage was not just to please David, the plan was already in place. David proved himself worthy to slot into that plan. His descendants however did not. But that would not thwart God's plan, rather, God ensures that all evil turns to good. Firstly, God used both Saul and David as types. Saul represented the church age, David the overcomer age. He used them as living allegories of history. This enabled God to justify giving in to the will of a rebellious folk. Not because God needs justifying but because God is the Word, He is the Law, He therefore does all things by the Law. The man-king issue had to be put right as it was a curse. That is why God sent Jesus to undo that curse and turn it into a blessing by giving us the best monarchy, namely, God was to have Jesus on the throne to roll away the curse. It almost looks like a compromise deal to achieve a great thing. Jesus was/is from the line of David, who was a man-king, yet He was from God. He is Godly (Divine) yet He was a man. Sounds good.

It should be noted also that there is a difference between "throne of the Lord," and "throne of David their father." Only David, Solomon and Jesus are spoken of as "sitting on the throne of the Lord." (Ben Williams tape #W- 005, from CIM)

Yet, the man-king monarchists say 'No, Jesus is not on David's throne', thereby denying His first work and so the nation repeats the sin of Gilgal. So too do all those that seek democracy. So too do the republicans (Australian version). All say, "We want a man-king" of sorts. One will say a man-king by lineage, the other will say a man-king by election (i.e. PM or President). None will say, "**No King but King Jesus.**"

All say, well, if Jesus is king, then lets just wait for the second coming before we'll obey Him, afterall He's not ruling yet, just look at the world, Jesus has yet to put it right. They forgot the horses of Revelation 6 and the parables of the tares and the wheat, showing that he took the throne of David back then after the crucifixion. It is not that He doesn't rule, it's just that very few want to be ruled by Him, that's why no one

sees His kingdom. They all have their own idea of what it should be, never once thinking how Jesus wants it to be. The things our folk gripe about are in fact brought upon us by a ruling Jesus. That will shock many to read this, but we will deal with that later.

In 1 Chronicles we read the promise of a man-king dynasty lasting forever. However, there is a 'BUT-clause'. The but clause is never read by those that say 'see a man-king will forever sit on David's throne'. 1 Chronicles 28:7 "*Moreover I will establish his kingdom forever IF he be constant to do my commandments and my judgements as at this day.*" But the kings that followed after Solomon (including Solomon) brought the 'But-clause' into effect. The 'but-clause' did not allow for a restoration of the man-kings to have an everlasting dynasty with divine authority upon David's throne. Once the 'but-clause' was brought into effect, the right of divine rule was gone. Only Jesus was worthy of the right to Divine Rule. Only Jesus would rule over all Israel.

The English throne does not rule over all Israel. It does not rule over the U.S.A., Holland, Germany, Poland, Estonia, Latvia, Austria, France or Ireland although these lands do have man-kings of some form. The promise was that a man (not a woman) would come from the line of David to rule ALL Israel, and that it would kick in when the sacrificial offering system had lawfully ended. It ended with the crucifixion.

When one points out that there is a weakness in the theory of the divine right to rule of man-kings, one is at once accused of denying the Queen's lineage from David. That she may be of David is not the issue here. In fact, all Israelites are related to King David through their forefather Jacob. Let the Bible outline who is the everlasting monarch on David's throne. Isaiah 11:1-4 "*And there shall come forth out of the stem off Jesse (David), and a branch shall grow up out of his roots and the spirit (zeal, Ghost, fuel, mindset, gas, anointing oil) of the Lord shall rest upon Him...*" "*And in mercy shall His throne be established: and he (not she) shall (not maybe) sit upon it in truth*" (Obeying God's law; not one king after Solomon ever obeyed fully) "*in the tabernacle of David...*" Isaiah 16:5. "*Behold the days come, saith the Lord, that I will perform that good thing which I promised unto the house of Israel and to the house of Judah.*" (ie all Israel, not just Britain and the Commonwealth. What promise? A righteous king upon David's throne), "*In*

these days and at that time” (When? when the Levitical sacrifices end, read on) *“will I cause the branch of righteousness to grow unto David....In these days shall Judah be saved”* (only Jesus is called the Saviour for He covers our sins. Judah was saved, because Christ came from Judah, not to be confused with Jews.)... *“David shall never want a man (not woman) to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel.”* (now the timing of this event) *“Neither shall the priests, the Levites, want a man before me to offer burnt offerings and to kindle meat offerings and to do sacrifice continually.”* Jeremiah 33:14-18. So when Jesus took away the divine right of the Levitical lineage to make lawful coverings (atonement) for our sins, He also took away the man-kings divine right to rule over us.

Think about this. If a royal family has 10 sons, only one can be the king, that ‘one’ is the one that is the rightful heir to the throne. The key word here is ‘rightful’, so keep that in mind. Now when Jesus was born everyone knew a king was born. Why do you think Herod tried to kill Him? What is the parable of the wicked husbandmen all about? In it Jesus is called the heir, to what? David’s throne. At the time of Jesus’ birth, there would have been distant nephews, nieces and the like from whence came the European/English kings and queens. Which of those living at the time would have the right to David’s throne? Is anyone seriously going to suggest that one of Jesus’ far off relatives is more worthy than Jesus? All these are of the line of David but only one could have the throne. It’s not that hard a dilemma. The Bible says Jesus is the heir.

Seeing that He was resurrected, it means He lives forever, therefore He can sit on the throne forever...as a man. When did He take the throne? As stated before, when the Levites no longer lawfully offered sacrifices to cover our sins. Jesus took that job over; He also took over the role of crown wearing. He is both King and Priest, the hallmark of being of the order of Melchizedek (Hebrews 5:6,10, 6:20, 7:1,10,11,15,17,21.) Melchizedek is first mentioned in Genesis 14:18. Melchizedek was an order of kings and priests taking its name from the king called Melchizedek. Up until the Egyptian bondage, Abraham’s kin lived under a Melchizedek order of rule, commonly we call it the patriarchal time, where basically every man was a king and priest under God, ie. a nation of royal (kingly) priests.

Melchizedek was the king of Salem. Salem, although a town, means Frith, or Peace (Shalom - S'al(e)m). Melchizedek is made of two words, Malek and tsedeg. Malek means, to take the throne, to reign, become royalty, to become king. Tsedeg means; to make right, or the rightful or lawful right. Jesus is called the Prince (or King) of Peace, Frith, Salem. That very title is another way of saying Melchizedek of Salem. Jesus, according to Hebrews, is of the order of Melchizedek who was king of Salem. That translates to 'The lawful royal king reigning in Frith'(Peace). Why in Frith or Peace. Didn't Jesus always tell us to be at peace, at frith; at Salem? How can you be at Salem? Easy, be in agreement with Him. Those who are not in agreement with Him are at odds. Being at odds is a state of turmoil. Now, remember the meaning of rightful heir. Jesus thereby rolled away the wickedness of Gilgal (in earnest).

He was crucified at Golgotha, Golgo-tha has the same root meaning as Gilgal. Although the locations are different, they enclose a peculiar form of wickedness, like parentheses enclose part of a sentence. One place is the start of a matter, the other is the end of it.

The descendants of the Levites are still with us, as are those of David, but Jesus took over their job. ***"For unto us a child is born, unto us (who? Israelites, no one else) a son is given, and the government shall be upon His shoulder (not the Queen's)... of the increase of His government...there shall be no end, upon the throne of David..."*** Isaiah 9:6-7. That is the everlasting dynasty, a Melchizedek dynasty, by the King Jesus on David's throne. ***"...And in the sixth month the angel Gabriel was sent from God unto a city of Galilee, named Nazareth...and the messenger said...and behold thou shalt conceive in thy womb and bring forth a son, and thou shalt call His name Jesus. He shall be great and shall be called the Son of the Highest and the Lord God shall give unto Him the throne of His father David."*** (the throne was taken and given to Jesus because He was/is the Lawfully enthroned, anointed) Luke 1:26-33. ***"(After Samuel) they desired a king; and God gave them Saul the son of Kish...and when He had removed him He raised up unto them David to be their king...of this man's seed hath (ie already done) God according to His promise raised (ie, already done) unto Israel a Saviour, Jesus"*** Acts 13:20-24. We read here that Jesus is the end of the 'man-kings', as far as their right to rule from David's

throne goes because Jesus TOOK it. We read that this King is a Saviour. No man-king can save us from the transgression of the Law, after all, they by being kings break even the first commandment to have no other gods (sars) before God. We also see that Jesus is a Melchizedek, rightfully enthroned King.

Accepting Jesus becomes far more meaningful when you understand he 'rolled away' the wickedness of Gilgal. But the deed of 'saving' comes only to those who believe in Him, part of the proof in believing Him is to believe or accept that He is King, right now. All other people calling themselves kings carry on the curse of Gilgal. Who was to accept Jesus? "*Men, brethren, children OF THE STOCK OF ABRAHAM, and whosoever among you (who? you...'Men of Israel' for Paul was addressing them in Acts 13:16)...feareth God, to you (men of Israel) is the word of this salvation sent.*"

Man-king monarchists say that God is on the side of the Royals because the ungodly Jews have been behind the plots to kill royal families; the logic is; Jews are bad, then those the Jews attack must be good folk. To add to the confusion, Judeo-Christians say that Superspook, Mr. S. A. Tan does the throwing down of men, nations, and kings. Maybe they should hear what God says on this. Isaiah 63:1-6 "*Who is this that cometh from Edom (the Jews)...this that is glorious in his apparel, travelling in the greatness of his strength? (ie Edom thinks he can stomp all over us by his own strength)...Wherefore art thou red in thine clothing, and thy garments like him that treadeth in the wine vat? (symbolic of rebellious Israelites or crushed grapes signifying that Esau knows he stomps all over us). I HAVE TRODDEN the winepress ALONE... for I trample in my fury...*" God says that He does it! "*To wit...and (to) the kings thereof, and the princes (sars) thereof, to make then a desolation, an astonishment...*" Jeremiah 25:18. And so God kills the kings, the sars. Yes, God, He wants the pretenders out the way. The problem is, rebellious Israelites keep enthroning man-kings, either by lineage or by voting. Oh, what a stiff-necked folk we are.

King Jesus added to this, saying the same as His Father did in Jeremiah 25:18; basically, the following paraphrases it by showing that God uses men to tread under their feet those who are good for nothing, no hopers, those who rebel against God, those who have lost their saltiness,

those who claim to have a heart for God but their deeds belie their words. They are most at risk of being trodden under foot, or to be spewed out, for they are neither hot (full on) or cold (they don't know Him). Revelation 3:15-16.

We are told by many that the Queen of England swears to uphold the Coronation Oath Act, that she vowed to do so upon her coronation. She undertook to govern lawfully, in righteousness and mercifully, to uphold God's Law and to treat the Bible as the rule for the whole of life and government. As we have so far read, (for more see 'to be added') she has failed bitterly from the start and her salt has lost its strength. Many of the royals are Israelites like us. We are all potentially the salt of the earth. *"..but if the salt have lost his savour (strength), wherewith shall it be salted? It is thenceforth GOOD FOR NOTHING, but to be cast out and to be trodden under the foot of men."* Matthew 5:13. Again we see God using men to do the treading, it is not some Church created spook called Satan by way of poor translations.

The Queen heads the Anglican Church which to day is part of the Laodician church age. Laodicia means a folk who justify themselves or who are self-evident. That is to say that through their 'kings' they are justified, protected...it is self-evident, they claim. It is worth reading this part of the Bible carefully. Revelation 3:15 *"I know"* says Jesus, *"thy works, that thou art neither cold nor hot: I would that thou wert cold or hot."* He wishes they were one way or the other, *"So then because thou art lukewarm"* (hardly warm, ie pledging to God as in a coronation oath, church vows, wedlock vows, but never upholding such) *"I will spew thee out of my mouth."* That feeling of self-justification is so clearly shown in the way the Saxon nations behave. We have God on our side, we are a Christian nation, ie, *"the temple of the Lord is in our midst."* With that arrogance in the late 1800's, Christian nations all claiming to have God on their side, or the temple of the Lord to trust in, warred against each other. Yet, each were wholly lawless. Each were wholly Gilgallian. But God does not hide His thoughts, He made it quite clear why we suffered so much, we just never bothered to read what God told us in black and white. In Jeremiah 7:4-16 *"Trust ye not in lying words saying, the Temple of the Lord, the Temple of the Lord, the Temple of the Lord are these,"* (ie we are a Christian nation, God gives us

the might to win.) ***“Will you steal, murder and commit adultery*** (as was so clear in the Anglo-Boer War, adultery is to race-mix)...***and walk after other gods...***” (parliament, mighty men, man kings)..”***And come and stand before me in this house*** (nation) ***which is called by my name..***” (in New Testament times that means Christian)..”***and say, We are delivered to do all these abominations.***” Christians say we are saved and under grace and no longer need the law. Thus, they are lawless and literally say that they can make their own laws, thus committing abominations.

“Therefore...I will cast you out of my sight...pray not for this people, neither lift up cry nor prayer for them...FOR I WILL NOT HEAR THEE.” Sounds like He is saying I will love you no more!

Christ outlines their wealth which they see as self-evident of God’s blessing. He then says in Matthew 5:20 ***“Behold, I stand at the door and knock:*** (what door? To the hearts of those in the system) ***if any man*** (of Israel) ***hear my voice*** (ie. accept the word, the Laws and the true King Jesus) ***and open the door..***” What then? Keep staying in the churches? Keep pledging allegiance to man-kings? Answer, ***“I will come in to him and will sup with him*** (the process of becoming Christ’s Body, not Christ’s bride) ***and he with Me. To him that overcometh will I grant to sit with Me in My throne, even as I ALSO OVERCAME, and AM set down with My Father in His throne.”*** So, as Jesus comes to you, you go to Him, it means you are called-out (more on that as we go on), out of the churches! These called-out ones then are reckoned as overcomers and are planned to sit with Christ! Where? On His throne, that is to say ***“He hath made us Kings and Priests unto God and His Father.”*** Revelation 1:6. Note well, this was written only decades after the crucifixion and Jesus says to John that He already sits on the throne and rules the earth. The rest of Revelation shows how He rules.

In verse 19 Christ called then to change their ways. We see much of verses 19-20 paraphrased in Amos 5, we read a call for Israelites to change their ways ***“For thus saith the Lord unto the house of Israel*** (this is an end-time prophecy, to only Israelites) ***Seek ye Me, and ye shall live.”*** ie. seek something else and you’ll die, God then lists the something else. ***“But seek not Beth-el, nor enter into Gilgal, and pass not to Beersheba...”*** (Westminster Abbey has carved into the arch,

“Surely this is the house of God” i.e. “Beth-el”. God says not to seek Him there!) all three terms are linked to royalty and churches and finance, the age-old triad. God is not using the terms in a geographic sense because...*”for Gilgal shall surely go into captivity.”* Firstly seek the Lord, where? Anywhere! Therefore Gilgal is also anywhere where man-kings rule in this context, because Gilgal was the starting point of a system of Elohiym; mighty men, rulers. Man’s system of Elohiym is called Gilgal. It says that if you put your trust in Gilgal, man-kings, you’ll go into captivity. In modern history this is so clearly shown in Russia. The white Russians put their trust in the Czar, and they went into an iron yoke captivity.

Some people think that the man-king monarchy will save us here today. God says no. At all costs these well-meaning folks will defend the monarchy and say ‘well, if they stood up for God’s Laws they’d be killed. If that be so, then, how can they save you? So are we to suffer them being lawless? The aforestated says otherwise. What’s more, Samuel says of the man-king order that it is rebellion and outlines how God sees this. The context is to do with kings, *“For rebellion (to God’s Law) is as the sin of witchcraft* (and we are not to suffer witches to live) *and stubbornness* (the royalty stubbornly ignore God’s Laws) *is as the iniquity and idolatry. Because thou hast rejected the word of the Lord, He hath also rejected thee from being a king.”* 1 Samuel 15:23. In Micah chapter 6 we read what God asks, asking what have I done to deserve this, you choose kings rather than Me, *“O my people, what have I done unto thee? For I brought thee up out of the land of Egypt* (which was ruled by man- king monarchs) *...remember now what Balak king of Moab consulted and what Balaam* (the Israelite Church minister) *answered him from Shittim unto Gilgal... Shall I count them* (Israelites) *pure with the wicked balances and with a bag of deceitful weights? The rich men...are full of violence* (they promote it today with films and drugs) *and the dwellers thereof have spoken lies... Therefore... will I make thee sick in smiting thee in making thee desolate because of thy sins* (rejecting God)... *For* (or because) *the statutes of Omri* (an Israelite king) *are kept* (the king’s laws are yielded to) *and all the works of the house of Ahab* (another king) *and ye walk in their counsels,* (man-king laws) *that (therefore) I should make thee a deso-*

lation and the dwellers thereof a hissing" (ie. others vilify you; racists; invaders and so on, we see it every day all because of folks yielding to man-kings).

People think that Jesus had to redeem the Israelites from Adam's sin. Of course Jesus had to put right where Adam failed but they overlook the selling that God does. God sells us into captivity. When God took us out of Egypt, He redeemed us, yes, redeemed back then. ***"For I brought thee up out of the land of Egypt and redeemed thee..."*** Micah 6:4, but in verse 5 Gilgal gets mentioned.

Thus in Micah 6:16 picking up where we left off dealing with them following man-kings laws... ***"therefore ye shall bear the reproach of my people*** (Israelites)." Jesus was to take away the reproach which began at Gilgal, but you have to recognize that He took away the reproach by taking David's throne, which He did at 'Gilgal-tha' (Golgotha).

-"Thus hath the Lord dealt with me in the days wherein he looked on me to take away my reproach among men." Clearly other men still had the reproach (Luke 1:25) but if He looks on you, He has called you out to be under His kingship. Those that walk in the statutes of kings, ie constitutions as opposed to the 'Word' will suffer the curses of reproach. Micah in chapter six lists a number of curses that are identical to the curses in Deuteronomy 28:15 onwards. In short, calling others kings or voting for P.M's and Presidents is a rejection of Jesus that brings on God's wrath. Our people look to a man-king (a man-god; Elohiym) to save them... ***"Now make us a king to judge us like all the nations.."*** 1 Samuel 8:5. Again, this wanting to have a man-king was the wickedness of Gilgal, it is the 'spiritual', the mindset (so as a man thinks) that led the actual CRIME or misdeed; the crowning of the man-king at Gilgal. The word 'judge' in 1 Samuel 8:5 is used as a verb from the noun 'deliverer' ie. 'to save'. The want therefore is to 'make a king to save us.' (The deliverers in the book of Judges were all called judges. The Saxon word for judge is 'doom', the noun is 'doomsman'. Variations of 'doom' are damn, condemn, and deem. To 'deem' is almost an act of justifying. We see here that there is a Laodicean element of thought, namely, to justify society's attitudes).

God says of the man-king monarchy (which in republics is an order

of man-king Presidents), ***“They have REJECTED ME, that I should reign over them.”*** Thus how do you stop God or Jesus from being your King? Choose your own kings, P.M’s or Presidents. But do so at your own risk, Deuteronomy 28:47, because so many, even the conservative man-king monarchists, know we are ruled by crooks. ***“Because thou servedst not the Lord thy God with joyfulness (ie accept Him as king happily)...Therefore shalt thou serve thine enemies which the Lord shall send against thee, in hunger, and in thirst, and in nakedness (porn. yes, many of our daughters serve our foes this way, nakedness is also symbolic of shame.) and in want of all things (we have P.M’s, Presidents and Queens, yet we are all short of money, and more so after the GST) and He shall put a yoke of iron upon thy neck until He have destroyed thee...”*** God does this because we choose man-kings. ***“Thy wealth and the treasures will I give to the spoil without price and that for all thy sins...”*** Jeremiah 15:13. Notice that it is God, not Superspook (Satan), that does this. Indeed, Satan must be bored senseless as God gives little to nothing to do! ***“Howbeit thou (God) art just in all that is brought upon us (at last admitting we deserve the yokes)...we have done wickedly. Neither have out kings, our princes, our priests nor our fathers kept thy law (lawless from the top to the bottom of the pile, from the monarchy and elected monarchs-ie P.Ms & Presidents, to the man in the street)...For they (the above stated) have not served thee in their kingdom..neither turned they from their wicked works (by making laws). Behold, we are servants (i.e. not Freemen as kings and priests under God)..and for the land that thou gavest unto our fathers to eat the fruit thereof and the good thereof, behold we are servants in it; and it yielded much increase UNTO THE KINGS WHOM THOU HAST SET OVER US BECAUSE OF OUR SINS...”*** Nehemiah 9:33-37. The Kings, ie man-king monarchs (lineage and elected) are given rule over us not to protect us but to PUNISH us for our refusal to obey God’s Laws, the first law being to have God as king, now of course His Son (police mottos saying “to protect and serve” mean to protect and serve the man-kings and his agents; but to the people as a whole they become “Law-enforcement” to punish us).

Recently there was another “petition” circulating in a campaign to KILL THE GST (tax). Look that up in the dictionary - “petition” is

another word for "prayer." And to whom was this petition - this prayer - addressed? You guessed it! "*To Her Most Gracious Majesty - we humble petitioners, pray and beseech your Majesty.....*" See what I mean about praying, and grovelling to a false god? Stop voting for elected man-kings, stop upholding lineage man-kings. Overcomer Christians are called "the elect". How can you be elected if you refuse to be elected? You refuse to be elected when you elect someone else. What is the election for? You are elected to rule with Christ. If you elect other rulers, then you nullify the work of Christ because you discard your given authority to rule. It is clear that P.Ms and Presidents are elected. Monarchists say they don't elect their kings, but they do. Election is a spiritual thing. In their hearts they chose (elect) to serve the monarch. Further more, if Queen Elizabeth is descended from King David, then there was an election process, no different to a presidential election or a P.M vote. The only difference is that it was a one off. The folk elected the monarch, "*Now therefore hearken unto their voice...*" 1 Samuel 8:9. God says to the folk, "*And you shall cry out...because of your king which ye have chosen..*" 1 Samuel 8:18

In Australia, the Referendum was not about what is best for the Israelites, it was simply to choose what sort of man-king system to have, one by lineage or one by modern votes. Both are saying, "*our king, not Jesus Christ.*" The referendum should have been, vote ye today for President, the line of Von Battenberg or Jesus. Which way did you vote? Back to Gilgal? Choose you this day whom ye will serve.

"The kings of the earth set themselves and the rulers take counsel together against the Lord and against His 'Christ'= anointed (called out ones, elect, chosen of Israel) saying, Let us break their bands asunder and cast away their cords from us. He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh...Then shall He speak unto them in His wrath and vex them in His sore displeasure. YET HAVE I SET MY KING UPON MY HOLY HILL OF ZION." (Zion, set up as a sign, ie. your life is a sign in Zion if your King is King Jesus. You can acknowledge His throne today) Psalm 2. For some reason man-king monarchists always see the word 'kings' in the Bible applying to Presidents or P.M.s only, never to the Royal family.

Chapter 11. The Return of Abraham's Kin but... Under Gilgallian Rule

King Asoka fordid (destroyed) his land and his folk by doing what his Israelite kin did at Gilgal...to walk in the statutes of kings. Asoka's want was to have as many underlings as he could muster; creed or color made no difference. Afterall, why limit yourself to racial kin when you can have non-Saxons too?! To make them underlings one needs to "spiritually baptize" them into the realm, kingdom of heaven. Today we call it naturalization. "*Gentiles (mere nations) shall ye take ... purifying them with an unlawful purification.*" Testament of Levi 4:17, this despite Jesus' order not to go to the mere nations to find kingdom dwellers (Matthew 10:5-6).

As I have shown earlier, the word kin is linked to 'gene' or 'genealogy'. The word 'king' is shortened from the Saxon word kinning of 'kin' plus '-ing'. (Like Koning in Dutch and its variant in German) Kinning or Koning or 'Kin'g' means, one who is kin. Hence Jesus being our kinsman Redeemer. If He be not our kin, and was of another race, He could not buy us back (redcem). If He be our kin, namely we be of the same race as Jesus, then logically He can be our Kin'g, kinning, koning, king. If we wed and bed a *zûwr* (one of another race), then that *zûwr* is not a kin (of the same genealogy). Jesus thus is never the kinsman redeemer of the *zûwr* partner, should there be offspring, then they too are not kin. Jesus is therefore not the Kin'g of that hybrid offspring. Hence the Biblical warning that a bastard, (*mamzer*, mixed blood, product of adultery) shall not ever be a member of the Kinning Nation, namely, the congregation of the Lord. The man-kings and their approved churches have said the *zûwr* and *mamzers* are all kin, should we really pledge allegiance to such genocidal monsters? (Genocide = kin slaying),

The kings of Spain and Portugal tried to turn those who God does not recognize into His children. Remember what Paul said in Acts; Jesus is Saviour/King of Abraham's seed only. But these kings had their own laws, they pledged to serve Jesus in their oaths, but their deeds proved otherwise, and so they made citizens of all those they had dominion over including the outlander *zûwr*. By calling these *zûwr*, Christians (ie. underlings in Jesus' kingdom of heaven on earth), the kings broke yet more of God's laws; purifying them unlawfully. God does not accept

offerings to idols (false laws), these 'purified' (naturalized) outlander *zûwr* were offered to Christ, ie. made underlings of the man-kings who claim divine right to rule. The kings and priests thought they did just fine.

These kings were always in rebellion (reckoned as witchcraft, thus they should be put to death). The British kings and queens did likewise and thus they are too reckoned as witches, furthermore, they did not learn from errors made by the Spanish and Portuguese kings. They stubbornly did the same; stubbornness is reckoned as idolatry, punishable by death. (1 Samuel 15:23)

Queen Victoria was head of a system that was fuelled by white slaves. To ease their Judeo-Christian guilt, they called white slaves 'convicts'. In 1833, the monarch, William IV, agreed to abolish slavery throughout the empire ...for blacks only. Even during the age of Black slavery, White slaves worked 16 hour days; 7 hours more than blacks. After 1833, blacks were given a royal pardon but white slaves were not. Blacks were more equal than white slaves in the empire. Under the monarch, Governor Davis in Van Diemens Land (Tasmania), for instance, made a pictorial proclamation to the black Australians showing them to be equal to free settler whites. This was the king's law, the statutes of Omri, the law of Asoka.

While blacks were called and made free men from 1833, many whites in Britain were cursed to forget the ideas of land ownership or home ownership. They were forced into the cities, penniless, robbed of their wealth. A total of 67,665 of them were sent as white slaves (softly called convicts) to Van Diemen's Land alone. When Capt. Maconochie wanted to better the lot of white slaves, some 4 years after black slavery had ended in the Empire, the man-queen refused his requests.

There were so many government-owned slaves, that free settlers ended up paying more taxes so as to pay for clothing, housing and so on for the slaves/convicts who outnumbered them. In 1843, 15,000 white slaves were 'transported' to Van Diemen's Land whilst only 27 free settlers were sent. The "Anti-Transportation League" was born not out of pity for white slaves but because the taxes were too heavy for the free settlers. Fearing a revolt, the Westminster parliament headed now by Queen Victoria stopped transportation on 10/08/1853. It was done not


PROCLAMATION'

This is one of Australia's first Proclamations. The Genocidal Melting Pot sinners were pushing race hybridization back in the 1830's.



The 'Crown' freed heathen blacks whilst Israelites (Whites) stayed enslaved. Australia's first railway was powered by White slaves.

It ran for 21 years! It was not scrapped out of kindness but rather, other means became cheaper.



because the Queen loved the poor (those that Jesus ordered to do well by), but because of a fear of trouble, that's all...a disgusting lack of love showed for those Jesus died for. On the Australian mainland, slavery took a new twist. Transportation had ended earlier, but the Queen's Asoka equality ensured for a multi-racial Australia. There was no equality between the delicate rich and the poor whites, but the poor whites had to reckon themselves equal with the heathens, by law. Chinese workers were allowed to work the goldfields and send the gold to China.

Working white resentment grew. A number of incidents took place that led to the "White Australia Policy". Queen Victoria was against a white Australia policy preferring instead Asoka's multi-culturalism to God's Ethno-kingdom. She said that a white Australia would upset the coloured nations of the Commonwealth. She did not defend those Jesus died for. Instead, she defended those He said to drive out. Australian Nationalists, after fighting often with the Queen's 'Red-coat' standing armies, gained their apartheid, despite the Queen. I'm not for the republic of Australia, but the monarchists would have us believe that without the Queen we'd get the worst laws ever. They forget that the very worst law ever made was the scrapping of the white Australia policy in 1972, whilst the Queen was head. The Queen was out to protect the heathen, not the Israelites, just as her mother and grandmother before her.


Now it's 1999. The Boers, whose families suffered genocide, can't get an audience with her. But.. a group of 5 'unclean ones' (so called Aborigines), from Australia can go over to England and speak to her in person. In October, they outlined the plight of the unclean non-Israelites of Australia. The Queen in so doing shows her rebellion to God's Laws which forbid any agreement with the unclean.

When Britain took the Cape colony of Suid Afrika, under the pretense of stopping Napoleon's expansion, it was cried that the black man was as good as the white man and should have equal claims, even though the whites settled the southern parts of Africa 150 years before the blacks moved in. The Boers who studied God's Laws said no king but King Jesus. They knew king William IV was a crook, so they packed up and left the Cape Colony; a territory the Crown seized unlawfully in 1805. Theirs was a great sacrifice. They had to not only leave a safe area, but they also had to leave behind their lands that they had worked

and their fine homesteads which were eagerly snapped up. The Boers, however, did not start a Civil War over being sold out, to be traded like chattel into the Empire. Instead, they left, to go to a land never claimed by the Queen of England. They founded a number of Boer Republics, such as 'De Republiek van Natalia,' 'De Oranje Vrystaat,' 'De Zuid-Afrikaansche Republiek' or Transvaal Republiek (from crossing the Vaal river). For some 50 years the Boers lived in frith (peace) in their internationally recognized free-standing republics or commonwealths. In 1877 this calm was shattered.

When precious minerals were found, the Queen chose to heed the advice of greedy, *zûwr* Canaanites rather than the Word of God which forbade Saxon to war against Saxon. She sent her armies to invade the Boer Republics.

Queen Victoria lured the *zûwr* blacks she freed to join her armies to burn, and loot Boer-Israelite homes and churches and to enslave what Saxon-Boers survived. She allowed her 'captains' to destroy (by the thousands) Boer livestock and to build concentration camps to starve to death the elderly, women and children. They were buried 4-5 per grave;



Blacks armed by the British in their war against the Boers

one sixth of the Boers died this way. She allowed a huge fund for building the 'block house' system, a fortified 'Iron Curtain' to cut off the Boer Republics and starve all Israelite Boers; all the while leaving the blacks to live in peace! A total scorched-earth policy, where houses and

lands were all burnt to the ground, and where all livestock was shot or bayoneted by English soldiers!

This huge capital wastage could and should have been used to drive out the heathen. The Bible calls Victoria's rebellion to God's Laws, witchcraft. Those that carry out witchcraft are witches. Queen Victoria was a witch. She presided over the genocide of Saxons to please Canaanite *zûwr*. The War against the Boers was not fought because Boers rebelled against the Queen. The Queen's armies marched on Boer Republics that were outside and to the north of the British-seized Cape colony. The Republics were never part of the empire. The empire chose to overwhelm them and swallow them up for gold, diamonds and the pleasure of the Canaanites. Why didn't the Queen see the Boers as God's children? King William would free blacks in 1833 but keep whites enslaved and his successor Victoria carried on this policy with greater cruelty in 1889. Three years later, the once happy republics had become part of the empire, under the 'protection' of the monarchy. This protection meant that the Boers had no homes, no livestock, no land and no rights. The British in the new 'Union of South Africa' told Saxon-Boers that they'd rather work with the blacks.

Blacks were allowed under monarchical protection, via her representative the Governor-General, to keep their languages and customs, but the Boers were forbidden to speak either Dutch or Afrikaans. Boer children in school who did were forced to wear signs hung around their necks stating, "I am a dunce, I spoke Dutch."


The monarchical 'blessing' ensured that British immigrants gained economic control of Boerland, believing they had the right to steal for they had the temple of the Lord in their midsts. Of course, this blessing did not reach out to the poor British whites., their lot was to be slaves in penal colonies

The Queen is the 'head' of the Anglican Church. All Bishops of the Anglican Church in Suid Afrika were appointees from England, deeply committed to the political objectives of the Queen's government. One such an appointee with Queen Elizabeth II's stamp of approval is Desmond Tutu, a black *zûwr*. Victoria's descendant, Elizabeth II, carried on the sins of her forebears for the Law states, "*Thou mayest not set a stranger (zûwr, racial alien) over thee (Israelites) which is not thy*

THE SCORCHED EARTH



30 000 farms and all implements were destroyed and the farmsteads ransacked, as shown above.



The remains of 1500 horses wantonly killed by British troops, as were more than one million sheep and goats and hundreds of thousands head of cattle.

brother (kin).” Deuteronomy 17:15. “There is no hope; no; for I have loved strangers..” Jeremiah 2:25.

In 1965 the British Council of Churches, a body dominated by the Anglican Church, headed by the Queen, published a book called "**The Future of South Africa**". In it we read, "*The Council, while not regarding economic sanctions, at this stage, as likely to lead to a satisfactory solution (ie. the fall of the 'keep ye holy' Laws) of the South African problem (namely apartheid) requests Her Majesty's Government as a matter of urgency to consider what measures are required to ensure that Britain and her citizens no longer act in such a way as to encourage apartheid. The council resolves that an early opportunity be sought to lay before the Foreign Secretary, the report on 'The Future of South Africa' and the resolutions of the council arising therefrom and to discuss with him British policy towards South Africa.*"

In all four of the resolutions concerned, not one reference is made to God, Jesus or the Bible. The Anglican Church (Whose head is the Queen) gave millions of pounds to the ANC enabling it to carry out a campaign of terrorism. Her Majesty's government accommodated, in London, the head officers of the ANC and the SACP, the South African Communist Party, at the same time the Queen's Government Secret Service created, ran and paid for, the Anti-Apartheid Movement (AAM). So much for monarchical protection, so much for the coronation oath. That 'blessed' Asoka, Omri, Victoria to Elizabeth 'protection' can best be summed up by these statistics; the man-queen pitted the Empire population of Britain, Canada, Australia and New Zealand of 64 million souls against the Boer Republic's population of just 0.25 of a million (250,000) over a 3 year blitz. Thereafter the war was carried on by the Queen's Church. Queen Elizabeth is fully aware of what has been done to whites. During her last tour of Suid Afrika, she danced and sang with black children of a black school, to really use a Negro term, 'Getting Down'. Why didn't she go to an Afrikaans' school and dance an Afrikaans dance and learn Afrikaans songs? Because she loves the blacks more. In her 1999 Christmas speech she told all peoples to accept change, in other words, lump what the establishment is doing to you. Is that upholding her Christian oath?

A sad footnote to the above: The Boer folk have been so conditioned to think that treaties with *zûwr* blacks are OK, that even the most

WHO THE BRITISH WERE FIGHTING AGAINST



The 79 year old Burger Van Brakel and two eight year old prisoners of war at Bermuda.



A Concentration Camp child of Krugersdorp.



An emaciated child in the Bloemfontein Concentration Camp

“A policy of extermination of children in South Africa”.

(David Lloyd George, British political leader, 1901)

pro-Boer freedom fighters believe Suid Afrika can be shared. They believe it is fine to have a few Boer states here and there and some *zûwr* states here and there. The LAW says, **DRIVE THE ZÛWR OUT**. Suid Afrika will only be free when all the *zûwr* are driven north of the Zambezi. We see this same nonsense in America where some think the *zûwr* are entitled to *zûwr* homelands in the USA, **NO**, drive them out to Africa, Asia or if the Canaanites love them so much, to Palestine!

The Anglican Church has been very active in the United States since it was founded in Jamestown, Virginia in 1607 as the Episcopal Church. 'Episcopal' is an awful sounding word from the Greek to hide its meaning; it means 'overseer'. Its aims are to oversee and manipulate on behalf of its parent, the man-king monarchically-headed Anglican Church. The Anglican Church, like all churches, is Judeo-Christian, that is to say, they dare not offend the Canaanites. The kings preferred to listen to Canaanites and so an unholy trinity typifies the church age; kings, churches and Canaanites. Together they never had any trouble finding whores who were willing to betray God's Israel children by telling them that God gave kings the divine right to rule; that the Canaanites are God's chosen people; that whites (Israelites) are to universally reconcile (assimilate) with all non-Saxons; that Jesus loves everyone; that Jesus is yet to rule and that Israelite-Saxons are not to get too involved in bettering the world for Saxons because their goal should be a fictitious fake heaven in the clouds (as stated before, *they have sold their birthright for a fake, make-believe heaven, a tin-foil halo, and a harp that's probably made in China*). However, Israelites must get involved seriously to defend the King-Priest-Peddler triad and their melting-pot utopia. That is, in a nutshell, wolf doctrine. In America, one family of greedy whores is the Kennedys, who were willing to enforce Asoka's/Omri's laws. One of the most active bodies to fordo (destroy) and crush Israelite 'be ye separate' laws in America's southern states during the 1960's was the Queen Elizabeth's Episcopal Church. We'd sing for God to save her, but never once sang for her to obey God and save those her church was destroying.

Like all the man-kings/queens that went before her, Elizabeth II has a habit of forsaking the Israelites and meeting the unclean. She meets with the unclean Mandela (agent of the queen and her Canaanite

puppet show in Babel-ia-ment House of Westminster,) and makes an apology with the unclean cannibals, the Maoris of New Zealand. Her forebears approved the 1840 New Zealand treaty of Waitangi, despite God's Law, "*Make no league with the inhabitants of this land.*" Judges 2:2. "*Thou shall make no covenant with them...*" Deut 7:2.

The Queen's apology to the unclean was that she rued the day Saxon-Israelites settled New Zealand. She rued the spread of Christ's kingdom. She basically rues this, "*If it shall be God's will that we shall succeed in establishing this colony, I persuade myself with His blessing attending us, we may be instruments in planting down in these favored islands a well-ordered God-fearing community that may stand in these remote regions a sample of the KINGDOM OF CHRIST which like a light burning in a dark place, shall bear no indistinct vision,*" words inscribed on a plaque in the main hall of Dunedin's first church by the town's founding fathers. These enlightened men knew who was KING and understood where the kingdom was. They were about including New Zealand into Christ's Kingdom! Queen Elizabeth rued the day New Zealand was brought into Jesus' Kingdom! What did God say of the man-king/queens? **THEY HAVE REJECTED ME!** Again, so much for upholding the Coronation Oath.

Don't you suppose that this would cause God to hate us? Despite Queen Elizabeth II's coronation oath to uphold God's Laws, her church worked towards race-mixing. Her church today does the same in England, and everywhere else it overshadows. Britain today is overshadowed by aliens. The people of Britain are losing jobs, homes, families, lives. Women rebel against husbands, husbands are a bunch of S.N.A.G.S. (Sensitive New Age Guys). The largest growing past-time for these offspring of Vikings and Israelite warriors is needlework! A recent poll showed that in Britain more folks believed in outer space beings (E.T.s) than in God. Children are into Rock & Roll, drugs and racemixing. The Queen calmly smiles on while her white folk become extinct. (Her granddaughter is 'up-to-date' and "with it" having a stud through her tongue!) Her X-mas speeches are about multi-racial harmony to an Asoka Utopian Commonwealth. We can shout and blame the Canaanites, but they do what is natural to them, the monarchs, however, swore to uphold God's Laws and spare their underlings from all the above.



In New Zealand the 'Crown' preferred love with the heathen than with Boer-ethnic kin, as it signs the blasphemous treaty of Waitangi.

When the British came to India, it was not to restore the land to God and to spread the Kingdom of King Jesus. It was to bring more wealth to the unholy triad of kings, priests and peddlers. Had the crown wanted India as a dominion for God, it should have driven out the coloureds from the areas it could take. Instead of uniting with other Israelite-European powers, it fought against them, removing from India the French at Arcor in the South-East under Robert Clive. He took an army to Calcutta and won a battle over the Indians, gaining the state of Bengal for the East India Company. In 1774, Warren Hastings was appointed governor-general by King George III to run Indian holdings. Rather than drive out the heathen, the kings representative tried to feed them during

their many famines; in stark contrast to Jesus' order not to give the bread of the children to the dogs. India suffered famines even back then, the famines were the outcome of poor farming methods, paganism and mismanagement due to the fact that India is a totally hybridized nation.

While it was the Triad's policy (policy of Monarch+clergy+financiers) to starve the Boer and enslave poor whites and work them to death in the colonies, it would feed the heathen! Those heathen that the empire fed were the offspring of murderers; their forebears committed genocide against the original Indo-Europeans of India. Did the Triad not think that the LORD saw all of this? It was simply a case of *'the Temple of the Lord, the Temple of the Lord, we can do all these abominations'* (Jer 7:4-10)

In 1877, Queen Victoria was made Empress of India at the insistence of the Canaanite Benjamin Disraeli. This legally made India's *zûwr* the Queen's underlings, ie. British by an unlawful purification. Despite *"What part hath he that believes with an infidel?"* II Corinthians 6:15. The empire (headed by the Empress) could have made India an Indo-European nation once more by driving out the heathen. Then it could have found the few surviving original Indo-Europeans and given them ruling rights. It could have teamed up with the Russian Empire, the French Empire, the Dutch Empire and set in motion the largest Ethno-realm grounded in God's Laws the world has ever seen, making the world Christ's footstool. They could have fought to enlarge King Jesus' realm. Instead, the Empress teamed up with the Afghans (the unclean) to create a buffer zone against the Tsars; the remnant white Kalash suffered greatly as this Triad pact with the Muslims allowed the Muslims to further oppress the Kalash.

In other words, the Empress broke one law after another. *"Make no league with the inhabitants of this land, ye shall throw down their alters.."* Judges 2:2 *"If thou hast stricken thy land with a stranger (zûwr) thou art snared."* God delivered the *zuwr* into the Queen's hands but she did not drive them out. *"...for I (God) will deliver the inhabitants into your hand, and thou shalt drive them out from before thee... they shall not dwell in thy land..."* Ex 23:31-32. *"Then ye shall drive out all the inhabitants of the land from before you...and ye shall dispossess the inhabitants of the land and dwell therein.."* Numbers

33:52-53. ***“And thou shalt consume (foredo-destroy) all the people which the Lord thy God shall deliver thee, thine eyes shall have NO pity upon them...if thou shalt say in thine heart, these nations are greater than I, how can I put them out? thou shalt not be afraid of them, but shalt well remember what the Lord thy God did unto Pharaoh and unto all Egypt”*** Deut 7:16-17. The Empress ordered British Saxons to fight French and Dutch (Boer) Saxons despite ***“Ye shall not..fight against your brethren the children of Israel”*** I Kings 12:24, Leviticus 19:18.

The British Empire, the largest in modern history, was weakened from the start by ungodly pacts and treaties with peddlers, descendants of murderers, cannibals and assorted heathen using the blood of Saxon brethren to stick it all together. It saw no crime (sin) in being in bed with the Canaanite mini-state of finance called “the City” (a square mile inside the city of London, read the book “The Empire of The City”). Yet it refused any pact with God and His chosen ones. (as outlined before in Jeremiah 4:7-16 in which it states they saw no wrong in adultery, namely, making impure God’s Laws or adding or taking from the laws, re-writing them and creating new documents called Constitutions.)

The Empress according to the Bible was not only a witch and an idolator, but according to Jeremiah also a ‘no-hoper’. ***“There is no hope: no; for I have loved strangers (zûwr-racial alien) and after them. I will go.”*** Jeremiah 2:25. The sins of the fathers (in this case, mothers) will be visited upon the children and children’s children to the 4th generation. And indeed, before India became independent on 15 August 1947, Lord Mountbatten’s wife “enjoyed” ‘a brush of the tar..” Treason runs deep. Louis von Battenburg’s (Mountbatten’s) wife trebled the Gilgalian wickedness.


One: she was an adulterous trollop. She had many witnesses to her out-of- wedlock hanky-panky. Under God’s Laws she was punishable by death, but no one, not even the head of the Empire, the Queen, demanded the death sentence for her. (The line of hypocrites. They cried for the death penalty for toddlers, babies, men and women in Zuid-Afrika, simply because the Boers did not want to be invaded, and Boer men who wore British uniforms were promptly executed under Kitchener’s orders despite the fact that the Boers had no choice but to wear

them, because their own clothes became rags that fell off them.) Two: She had a froward bent for non-Israelites. Whisperers speak of a string of coloured lust partners including a black singer, but one lust partner was not a gossipers tale, he was known to millions, Jawaharlal Nehru. *“There is no hope, no; for I have loved strangers (zûwr) and after them I will go.”* Jeremiah 2:25. *“We have broken the Law against our God, and have taken strange (nokriy; not related as kin or genetically, alien, outlander) wives of the people of the land...Ye have broken the law and have taken strange wives to increase the lawlessness of Israel...therefore...separate yourselves from the people of the land, and from the strange wives.”* Ezra 10:1-17. *“The land unto which ye go to take is an UNCLEAN LAND with the FILTHINESS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE LANDS with their ABOMINATIONS which have filled it from one end to another with uncleanness. Now THEREFORE GIVE NOT YOUR DAUGHTERS UNTO THEIR SONS, NEITHER TAKE THEIR DAUGHTERS UNTO YOUR SONS, NOR SEEK THEIR PEACE or their WEALTH FOREVER...”* Ezra 9:11-12. *“Yea, THE HAND OF THE PRINCES AND RULERS HATH BEEN CHIEF IN THIS LAWLESSNESS.”* Ezra 9:2.

Three: Not only did she trollop around with the unclean whilst wed, the unclean one was the first prime minister-to-be of a nation that rebelled against God’s folk. She defiled herself with an unclean one that would lead an unclean people that would drive Saxon-Israelites out of the land that God gave them. She was some woman! She would make Jezebel blush. But ... still the Queen did nothing. (So much for upholding the Coronation Oath) Furthermore, the Royals are up to their eye-balls in usury as brought to light with the fall of the Barings Bank. *“(S)He that...hath given forth upon usury...he shall surely die.”* Ezekiel 18:8-13. It is one thing to borrow from a usurer, it is quite another to be a usurer. Borrowing from a usurer is proof of unlawful rule, but the borrower is not the guilty party to the loan, he’s only the victim.

Abraham’s kin returned to India as the British. Had they but obeyed the Law; but they did not and were cast out by the heathen...by God’s hand. God warns us that if we don’t drive out the heathen, God will use the heathen to drive us out. The history of Abrahamic man has been just that, one of missed opportunity. When you read the books in

FIRST CHALDIANS (RUDDY-BLOND TYPES)



* DIED OUT MEANS NO LONGER PURE, NAMELY HYBRIDS OR EXTINCT

the Holy Writ, Kings and Chronicles, you read a sad account of man-kings bringing Israelites into the reproach of the heathen, a reproach that began at Gilgal. The losers have always been Abraham's kin. But, throughout history there have always been some, the overcomers, who cried, "No King but God", then "No King but King Jesus." These, together with their King, will restore all the lost lands where at last wealth and health will be plentiful, the heathen will be driven out, with all those that loved them. Matthew 25:31-46, Revelation 15-22.

In Australia, some have been beguiled by the referendum to choose between a monarchy or a republic with a president. No king but King Jesus, means **NO Prime Minister, NO President, No man-Queen**. The word 'republic' is French and it means Commonwealth. Guess what? We are already in a Commonwealth. The referendum is not a case of one side wins, another loses. It is simply the age-old ploy by the establishment of tossing a coin and saying to us, "Heads I win, tails you loose"...I have news for them, with Jesus we win, win, and win some more. With man-kings comes man's laws; sometimes summed up as a Constitution. With King Jesus or King God comes God's Law called the WORD or the Bible. Because some constitutional principles seem alike, many Israelites believe they are based on the Bible. This is true in part. It is based on the Bible only in so much that it relies on the formulae of Ruler+Law+Dominion. Other than that, there is no likeness. Constitutions by nature add or take away and thereby adulterate the Law of God. Hence Jeremiah's claim that the nation lives by adultery [polluting with an admixture of something that does not belong]. The difference should be clear to all. God's Law was always there, ***"In the beginning WAS THE WORD, and the Word was with God and the WORD WAS GOD."*** John 1:1. So in the beginning, the Law was already in existence. God as King therefore was also before the beginning. The man-kings came much later, they were not from the beginning and were not with God. Their law system also came much later. The kings had to set their system up. God did not have to set His Law up because He is the Law. Therefore, if you love God's Law and you seek this for your life, then the Word, the Law, God dwells in you. That is how you share in His throne.

The set up laws of man are called a constitution. Constitute means to compose. Thus the authors of a constitution are men, not God. Those

that live by the man-king constitution are called the constituency, 'the whole body of voters for members of parliament.' This means that those that make up this throng are idolators. God forbids you to vote for a ruler, He does the voting, afterall, Christians are meant to be the elect, the voted-for ones. The principle is 'I don't vote for you to rule, I was elected to rule!' Together we will rule with Jesus.

Chapter 12: The Pudding Test

We have seen how good things could have been, but how bitter it all turned out. The proof of the pudding is its bitter taste, our history has been one of much thrashing. Let's look at the pudding ingredients. Ephraim represented the Northern Kingdom, a Joseph type, this was one of dominion. That is why the British Rikke was the largest of Israelite Empires. But there must be, in order to keep dominion, authoritative rule, as symbolized by the scepter. With all zeal, British Saxons went forth to take dominion, but as I have tried to show, the scepter is in Jesus' hand, not the royal dynasties of the man-kings. Because Ephraim's dominion zealots rejected King Jesus, Jesus rejected their false offering, their dominions. The pudding test proves this. For if the man-kings had the scepter, the British Empire would have kept growing and ethnically filled its dominion with Israelites, AND FILLED IT WITH GOD'S LAWS. Instead, the Israelite Empires, from the Portuguese to the Dutch to the English, was filled with man's laws flowing forth from their law temples called parliaments. Parliament is a non-Saxon word made up of Franco-Latin 'Parler'; to speak, prattle or babbel (Babel) and 'ment'; that which, the state of, formation. Parliament means 'the state of babbling or in a Biblically correct form, the Tower of Babel.

Each Christian Empire was born in the church age, represented by 'wheat' Israelites; Bride Israelites. The church age is not represented by the Body-Groom Israelites, these have yet to be revealed and given authority to rule. I would love to see the British have true dominion, or the Portuguese or the Dutch for that matter. I would love to see all Saxons, whether they be of England, Netherlands, Germany, Russia, USA or Australia, or wherever Abraham's Saxon kin may live, to have domin-

ion, BUT ONLY as God's underlings. God does not set free those who break His Laws (sinners), no...He sends them into captivity. He never uses rebels to free us, only the called-out ones are used as deliverers. Parliament and those that work for it, or in it, will **never** set us free. The Tower of Babel was built to make one, or to unite, the melting pot. The melting pot is part of the curse of a captivity.

Folks often ask, "If there is a God, how come He allows so many wars, sufferings, deaths, mutilations and sorrows?" Everyone thinks God helplessly watches on, yet no one ever seems to think it is God who does this (for we have read that God does all this out of hatred for rebels). Our folk should not ask how God can allow all this, but rather, WHY does God DO all this to us. All these woes mirror perfectly the calamities we read in Revelation 14:14-20 and Matthew 13:37-43. All are brought on by a ruling King, King Jesus, who thrashes the wheat company-Israelites upon the threshing floor and crushes the grape company-Israelites in the wine press.

Many will say, "But most of those that died were Christians." Indeed so, for they were the types that we read in Jeremiah 4:7-16 who cry 'the Temple of the Lord' or 'We are Christians, Christians, Christians, we have been SAVED by Christ to do all these abominations,' most notable of the Gilgallian type. Those that voted for man-kings to rule over them, but would not have Jesus on David's throne, "*He that hath not the Son of God, hath not life.*" 1 John 5:12. The rule with the 'rod of iron' began with the 'white horse and its rider' in Revelation 6. The following horses (such as the red one for Esau) typify his doom or judgement upon a rebellious folk, rebellious because they reject King Jesus and His Law - for man-kings and their laws. The church age or 'wheat age' is typified by rebellion to God's Laws. Rebellion to God's Laws means the rebels (the Churches, the man-kings and their constitutions) have de-throned King Jesus, effectively they are thrashees, ie. destined for a thrashing by His Royal Highness King Jesus I (the first and only). Does the title sound strange? well, get used to it, for He is all those things right now. But although His high calling, He was never a snob to the poor (of Israel) but instead was born into the poor to be a servant, for no one can be a fitting master unless he was a servant first. Man-kings and their 'cling-ons' looked favorably upon those of like

means, even wealthy *zûwr*, but called the poorer kinsmen 'vulgar' or unclean. In reality, the unclean are really the *zûwr*.

The churches have restored the wrong things, the system in 1 Samuel where the man-priests bless man-kings with man's laws under a pretence of godliness to rule over the folk. The churches have usurped their job. Their job was to ensure that there would be no man-kings, no central government and to ensure that all men knew the law; and that the ecclesia (a circle or ring) of older men, as elders or overseers ruled their affairs directly under King Jesus through the Holy Writ. These 'Rings', 'Circles' or 'Ecclesias' would never be allowed to do as the heathen by choosing a ruler, SAR or king to rule over them, or over a cluster of 'rings' thereby centralizing might. In that way, all men would be kings and priests (on their own land) under King Jesus.

Instead, the churches realized that, like their rebellious counterparts before the crucifixion, it is easier to feed off the sheep rather than feed the sheep. Thus they brought us back to Gilgal. What did the sheep do? They said 'Amen' to the priestcraft. God's hatred was rekindled but now through His Son Jesus, because Jesus can do only what His Father does. Behold that God's hatred is real, it varies greatly from what those no-hoper, deceiving church ministers say. Those Judeo-Christians say, "*Hate the sin but love the sinner.*" **NO! God says He HATES the sinner.** Those 'lovely love-em-all' church ministers are not so nice afterall. They are all a bunch of whoring, idolating warlocks that could have staved off God's wrath. Many are freemasons, many are queers, many are Canaanites, many advise government, many keep government alive, but NONE say that governments that are headed by P.M.s, Presidents or Queens have de-throned King Jesus. Of course, they serve man-kings, a cozy triad that allows wolves to eat the sheep.

Thankfully, throughout the church age, there are and have been Christians who did understand. They are 'called out' of the churches and out of secular society. They are the first fruits, the overcomers, the barley company. They only need to be winnowed; the breath of God (His Word) goes by and the husks simply fall off. They don't need thrashing or crushing to know God's mind. The Barley group are separate, apart; they are thus 'holy', or the 'holy ones'. 'Saint' is simply a Latin word (chosen to usurp the Saxon term 'Holy-one') for a Christian that fits the

above. The Catholic definition of 'saint' is totally wrong. 'Saint' in their eyes is one that the church sets upon a pedestal for worship and is some how deified. But a true Holy one is one that has left the church to grow as a Christian "outside the temple ground."

The falsehood of 'brides of Christ' also comes from the church. This nonsense teaches that women can wed Christ. God's wish for women is to bring forth godly children of Israelite stock, ie. of the flesh. A nun is not a bride of Christ but instead she is a barren brick! Children are the wombs sweetest fruit (see Psalm 127, 128). The order for men and women is to replenish (fill) the earth with offspring. Nowhere does the Bible use the term 'brides of Christ'. The term is totally singular and is used for men and women of the wheat company.

Christ has only one bride. It is a pitiful one at that, for now it is the churches; be it Catholic, Anglican, Dutch Reformed, Russian Orthodox and so on. BUT only the Israelite population of those churches are the bride of Christ. Non-Israelites do not count. (see the last chapter on Abraham's seed.) Yes, the Israelites of the churches, they are the bride, BUT THEY ARE NOT THE BODY OF CHRIST.

The body of Christ is made up of the called-out ones. They are the ones who know we are the Israelites, those who know that we need to remember the God who took us out of Egypt. The teaching that fires up the called-out ones is not new; it has always been around, but needs to be revived every so often, as it is today. It is growing and is commonly called the Identity movement (although NOT the 'Identity movement' by FBI and ADL definition), it is more truthfully called the Elijah movement. It is in essence, an Elijah mindset, an Elijah ministry. It is preparing the hearts for those who seek for Christ's second work in the same way that the 'spiritual Elijah', John the Baptist, made ready the way for Christ's first work. The first work implies a second work at a later time. In order for the husband (ie. the body) to step in and be the head of the household (of God, ie. Saxon nations), the wife (the churches) need to stop wearing the spiritual pants and step down. This is happening. The churches are empty. Sure, a few 'Charis-maniac' churches fill up, but overall, the churches are empty. The Charis-maniac churches are simply the death throes of a dying age. That the churches are empty is not a bad sign. It is a wonderful sign, it's a sign that the bride of Christ will one

day be the wife in obedience to the body. The body is the husband of which Christ is the head, becoming ready for the second work. Thank God for thrashing the wheat and crushing the grapes, for shutting down their priest system and throwing down their thrones. All God's judgements (dooms) are righteous, afterall, these dooms will put the last touches to the process that rolled away the Gilgallian reproach. This rolling away began at Golgotha. Thank you God, for King Jesus!

Chapter 13. How Do We Know When A Deliverer Is Due?

Ezekiel 34:2 *"Son of man, prophecy against the shepherds (church men) of Israel, prophecy, and say unto them, Thus saith the Lord God unto the shepherds; Woe be to the shepherds of Israel that do feed themselves! should not the shepherds feed the flocks?"* (Notice it doesn't say preach against SOME church men, it reckons the whole lot as bad) *"Ye eat the fat, and ye clothe you with the wool, ye kill them that are fed; but ye feed not the flock. The diseased have ye not strengthened (churchmen never taught the law which strengthens), neither have ye healed that which was sick (Israelites are called 'spiritual' lepers because they don't know the Word, the churches have never healed them), neither have ye bound up that which was broken (our nations have been broken, one after another, they helped with the downfall, as with Suid Afrika), neither have ye brought again that which was driven away (our folk have been driven out of one land after another, the churches have never pushed to have us repopulate our old lands and drive the heathen out), neither have ye sought that which is lost (they never look for the lost Israelites, being too happy to convert the heathen), but with force and cruelty have ye ruled them (the churches bless the heathen Canaanites saying we must be kind to them, but the true Israelites they never reveal to the world. Any Israelite that steps out of 'church' line is shunned, persecuted or called a racist. In times past, Israelites were killed 'en masse' and burnt at the stake). And they were scattered, because there is no shepherd (ie. no church stood up for the whites), and they became meat to all the beasts of the field (remember God is using animal symbolism here, the beasts of the field means other races, particularly the negroes who eat up our wealth, our*

towns and our culture.

Today, from Germany to the U.S.A. to South Africa, whole areas are no-go zones for whites, but even in white areas, whites are swallowed up in black culture: Jazz, blues, Rock & Roll, Pop, black sports heroes and so on and on)...*My sheep wandered through all the mountains and upon every high hill; yea, my flock was scattered upon all the face of the earth* (our folk went to all nations, great and small, but even then..), *none did search or seek after them.*” Should the churches be allowed to gain the hearts of the Israelites? God forbid! Will there be a great Christian revival coming from the churches? Fat chance! The churches have worked or plotted with kings and peddlers to fleece the sheeple, not to save Israel. The churches claimed to bring forth the fruit of the kingdom, instead, they allowed in the red horse (Edom) followed by those horses of death and pestilence. (Revelation 6). We will soon see from this chapter in Ezekiel that God has no plans to revive the churches and that He effectively is shutting them down; to save us. (Listen to the Sheldon Emry tape: *God Will Deliver Israel from The Clergy*; #A-8005, from CIM).

The churches, kings and peddlers, as we have stated earlier, are interested in underlings who make life soft and cozy for them. When Israelites awake, it is to the terror of the triad, when we call Jesus King and mean it. The triad knows this. This is why the triad tries to fordo us. It does this through multiculturalism which is designed to swallow us up. Multi-culturalism is not a natural progression of history, it is an ethnic cold war. The story of Balaam shows how this works.

Balaam was hired to curse the Israelites who were ‘licking up’ (swallowing up) the heathen. Today the heathen lick us up. Balak, the Moabite king wanted to stop the Israelites from driving the mixed population out. He hired the priest, Balaam, to do this. In the book of Numbers, chapters 22-24, we read of failed tries one after another to curse the Israelites. In the end he found a way to do it. In the books of Antiquities of the Judeans, (the book is wrongly called the Antiquities of the Jews) Josephus, the Judean historian, makes it clear that race-mixing was the key issue in book IV, page 92. In order to fordo the Israelites, Balaam urges Balak,

“Do you therefore set out the handsomest of such of your daugh-

ters as are most eminent for beauty...then do you send them to be near the Israelites' camp (nation) and give them charge that whom the young men of the Hebrews desire their company, they allow it them..." The account shows that race-mixing became very popular. In Numbers 25 we see this is confirmed. "And Israel abode in Shittim and the people (of Israel) began to commit whoredom with the daughters of Moab...and the anger of the Lord was kindled against Israel."

The account then shows how God's wrath was turned away when the Israelites put away the outlanders.

Nehemiah refers to that 'putting away' in chapter 13:1, "*On that day they read in the book of Moses in the audience of the people and therein was found written, that the Ammonite and the Moabite should not come into the congregation of God (the nation of Israel) for ever.*" In Micah we read that God shows a link between the false priest and kings; it is given as a lesson. The false priests are symbolized by the name Shittim, a place where the melting pot was first used by the priest-craft to destroy us. The root word for Shittim is 'shotet' meaning 'to pierce due to thorns'. (could shotet be the root word for the Saxon word, Shoot (English) and Schiet (Dutch)?) The man-king is linked into the crime because the word Gilgal is used, "*O my people, remember now what Balak king of Moab consulted and what Balaam the son of Beor answered him from Shittim unto Gilgal, that ye may know the righteousness of the Lord.*" Micah 6:5. Both Gilgal and Balaam serve as signs by which to identify those that work for the outlander, the *zûwr*. The churches' wickedness is from Shittim, the monarchy and President's wickedness is from Gilgal. They bless each other, both say God appoints them, but both deny His Law and His folk, preferring their own law and outlander *zûwr*.

The New Testament is not a Testament that embraces the mixed multitude for even in Revelation 2:14 Christ attacks the churches for following the way of Balaam. He says to the churches, "*...because thou hast there them that hold the doctrine of Balaam who taught Balak (the Moabite, *zûwr*-king) to cast a stumbling block (the *zûwr*-daughters of Moab) before the children of Israel to eat things sacrificed to idols (to take on customs, laws of Moab's gods ie. their government) and to*

commit fornication.” Nowhere did it say these Israelites were already wed. In fact, Josephus shows that the Moabite *zûwr* enticed them to wed. So wherein lay the fornication? Fornication means unlawful relationships. Out-of-wedlock flirting was not the issue here, nor was homosexuality. This leaves room for only one other form of unlawful relationship. Racemixing. (Thou shalt not commit adultery!) Jesus said He is against the churches because they teach racemixing. So back to Ezekiel 34:8. “...*my flock became meat to every beast of the field...*”. The sheep became sought after partners for other races. Black men wed white women as a status symbol. She is his conquest. Asian women wed white men to take their wealth. The older the white man the better, he’ll pop his clogs all the sooner, so that his wealth can be taken all the sooner.

Coloured people do not understand love, they understand ‘ego’ and convenience. This was made so clear when Hong Kong became part of China. Mainland Chinese women would cross into Hong Kong to wed a Hong Kong Chinese man, have children and settle down. One such woman spoke for many. She showed him (her man) ‘love’ and made vows. But when Hong Kong became a part of China, Beijing made changes to Hong Kong’s immigration laws. These Chinese women lost their right to stay in Hong Kong which became a Chinese special economic zone. None of the women said ‘I’ll fight to stay with my husband because I love him,’ instead they said, ‘If I would have known this I would not have wed him!’ Their love was simply an extension of their ego; convenience came first.

We have been tricked to think their emotions are the same as ours. The difference between the races is a genetic issue. Genetic matter is in the bone too, the difference is not skin deep, it goes right into the bone. It also affects the mind. We all have basic needs, so do cows, cats and dogs; food, drink, air. But that’s as far as it goes. In Proverbs we are told that coloureds wed us for our wealth, not for love and that we can never understand them.

Modern Bibles have destroyed the meaning of the chapter in question by turning the word ‘stranger’ into ‘adulterer’. This is Shittim’s witchcraft, foredoing the law to suit the needs of the triad. Proverbs 5. “*For the lips of a STRANGE (zûwr) woman drop as an honeycomb*

and her mouth is smoother than oil. BUT her end is BITTER as wormwood, sharp as a two-edged sword. Her feet go down to death, her steps take hold on the grave (waiting for you to die). Lest thou shouldst ponder the path of life, her ways are unstable, that thou canst not know them. (Get it? you can't work her out, her needs do not link up with yours, her goals in life are different)...Remove thy way far from her, and come not nigh the door of her house, lest thou give thine honor unto others and thy years unto the cruel: (God calls them CRUEL) LEST STRANGERS BE FILLED WITH THY WEALTH!" The churches that sanction mixed wedlocks, sanction the transfer of Israelite wealth to the outlander *zûwr*.

We see also, that church behavior in Revelation mirrors that which is outlined in Ezekiel 34. This is because the chapter deals with the churches of our age (that is to say, the church age, some 2000 years of it). That then brings me back to my question, Will there be a great Christian revival coming from the churches? God answers in Ezekiel 34:10, *"Behold, I am against the shepherds (that's funny, Jesus said the same thing!) and I will require my flock at their hand, and cause them to cease from feeding the flock (end their ministries, empty their churches), neither shall the shepherds feed themselves anymore; for I will deliver my flock from their mouth (Israelites will stop hanging on to their every word) that they may not be meat for them."* Sounds like the end of the churches to me, all praise be to God. For He is emptying out the churches to restore His folk.

The deliverer is Jesus Christ carrying out His second work, the fullness of the 'Christ (anointing) in you', the removal of lawlessness. So far, Jesus' work has been the earnest of 'Christ in you', ie. the covering of lawlessness. But in order to get rid of lawlessness the lawless need thrashing and crushing. That is what Jesus has been doing to the lawless for close on 2000 years. Soon the job will be done and lawlessness will be totally gone. In Hebrews 2:8 we see this is a slow process. *"But now we (at the time of writing) see not yet all things put under Him."* Logically, much history had yet to happen for the wheat age level (Pentecost Level), of the kingdom had only just begun back then around AD33. But like the Passover age that went before, the wheat (pentecost) age would also come to a close. In order for the called-out ones to know

when that is about to happen, God gives us signs; one being the end of the wheat age. When the wheat age is at its peak it is totally multicultural (Matthew 25:31) The wheat age ends when all the nations are gathered as a harvest together for Christ like dough mixed with leaven. Israelites lived in the kingdom on a first work level, only the earnest of the (fuel, gas, ghost,) spirit 2 Corinth 1:22,5:55, Ephesians 1:14. This is simply a down-payment or layby level experience. The fullness comes at the 'booths' level. The word booth comes from the Hebrew 'beth' meaning home. You could say then, we will be home and hosed, ie. You will be cleansed in the house of the Lord, ie. the nation of fully restored Israel.

Basically, Jesus' kingdom has been around for a long time. The problem is, most Christians think that proof of the kingdom is all things being run lawfully in fullness of the Word. But that is only a half truth. In order to get to the fullness age, the Kingdom undergoes an earnest age. Christ's Law, nevertheless, is in full swing, but because of rebellion we have mostly reaped the curses of the very active Law. Indeed, the curses prove that the law not only stands, but that someone metes them out through the punishments, curses or correctional methods. That Someone is Jesus. Many find that hard to understand because they think that because they can't see Him He is gone outright. Much of this belief is caused by the poor translation of Acts 1:9- 11 which seems to contradict Jesus' promise that He'll always be with us; When two or more are gathered together in His name, He will be there. We seem to accept this, yet we don't see Him (but where people gather in His Name - the WORD is present, and He is the WORD). The earnest level is one in which Jesus is present but not seen. Acts 1:11 does confirm this when translated properly. Let's first start in verse 6. "***Lord, wilt thou at this time restore again the kingdom to Israel?***" The word 're-store' to most implies, all things made perfect. BUT that is NOT what it means here, it means GIVE BACK. Jesus told the Canaanites that 'sat in Moses' seat' (ie. ruling from Jerusalem, ie. kings of Salem) that the kingdom would be taken from them. This is what Christ did when He took David's throne and gave His underlings the authority to rule, but as Christians, not as Judeo-Christians. When will the Israelite believing folk get their authority?, verse 8, "***But ye shall receive power, after that the Holy***

Ghost is come upon you.” When was that? well, way back then, verse 5, ***“For John truly baptized with water (water was a fore-shadow of a better cleansing) but ye shall be baptized (cleansed) with the Holy Ghost NOT MANY DAYS HENCE.”***

Thus pleased that Jesus had planted the kingdom seed, He was now ready to be everywhere all at once. The only way to do this is to be transformed in a way that would allow Him to function as His Father. It did mean that He would be lost to the spectrum we enjoy as sight. ***“And when He had spoken these things, while they beheld (were looking) a cloud received Him out of their sight. (A cloud engulfed Him, like mist, and He vanished from sight, as someone does in the mist) And while they looked steadfastly toward heaven (heaven here is from ovranos, it means the air, so while they strained to look through the mist) as He went ‘up’ (went up is meant in the sense of swallowed up, vanished. The word ‘up’ was added. The word ‘went’ is from the Greek which means to wayfare or to walk) behold two men stood by in white apparel; which also said, Ye men of Galilee, why stand ye gazing up (up added) into heaven? (ie. why stand ye gazing into the mist or air, there is no upward implication) this same Jesus, which is taken up (up added, ‘taken’ is more correct, in the sense of being accepted, ‘analambano’. ‘ana’ is a prefix, on its own it means up but as a prefix it means by implication a sense of intensity. ‘lambano’- to take. Rather than take up, it’s more like inter-take, ie. chosen or accepted.) from you into heaven...”*** Let’s now put it together, ***“Why stand ye gazing into the mist? This same Jesus which is accepted from you into the mist, shall appear in like manner as ye have seen Him walk into the mist.”*** Your Bible may read ‘come’ for appear. The word ‘erchomai’ has many meanings, but in the context ‘appear’ is the most apt rendering because it is the reversed of disappearing as shown to be the case in foregoing lines. As you read the account, you will see that Jesus said the truth in verse 5, namely, they did not have to wait many days for the Holy Ghost to cleanse them and to empower them to put into practice the physical growth of Christ’s kingdom, albeit in earnest of the Ghost or mindset. The kingdom is not in earnest, it is real, the Spirit or minds of the Israelites is only in earnest, namely, not fully there, half baked...the leaven not fully baked out, thereby pentecostal by nature. Guess what? They understood this

because on the day of Pentecost that followed that year about AD33, the Holy Ghost, the 'apartheid mindset', came upon them, as Jesus said would happen. Thus Jesus Christ's Kingdom was planted with Jesus' anointed Israelite men whose minds were cleansed in earnest. So began the wheat age, the Church age level of the Kingdom of Jesus.

When the wheat age ends, the last husks are threshed away, the churches empty. The kingdom is ready for a new work. Before a new work, God sends a teacher, a prophet. Teachers that are an Elijah type. It is time to see from the Holy Writ what sets this teaching apart from the false teachers of the churches and what the outcome will be. Elijah types are the opposite to the Shittim-Balaam, pro-Gilgal types. Judeo-Christianity sits well with the wickedness of Gilgal. The churches love kings, constitutions and central government; teaching that these are needed for a 'civilized' society. An American Christian puts it this way, "*We've had central government for centuries, (Asoka had it) it has done nothing to help us (it helps only non-Israelites, a theme that is all too familiar with central government), it does everything to hurt us, ('us' being Israelites). In controlling trade it is the greatest supporter of free trade for its lackeys and stifling all our honest work, (preferring to give trade to elite groups such as Canaanites and assorted proselytes such as freemasons and then sacking Saxons in affirmative action schemes ie. giving work to 'minorities' over Saxon-Israelites; sackings for more profit, sackings to set up shop in non-Israelite lands). As for military protection, central governments have always caused wars and used our men and women as their cannon-fodder (re-read 1 Samuel), it was central government that causes internal strife and seeks to make other nations around them conform to their 'ideal'!*

As for the Constitution, well, we showed that it's lofty, Godly claims were never upheld. But even if these were upheld, are the principles God-inspired? Firstly, only the Bible is the inspired Word of God, NOTHING ELSE! This Elijah-type Christian points out "***Where the spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty*** (freedom)" 1 Corinthians 3:17. So freedom and Jesus Christ are inseparable. The Australian Constitution came about due to Federation - Centralization. This is the track record of the Federal government under the constitution, and its giving of God's freedom. It sent our sons in 1914 to kill fellow Israelites who did not

start the war...(WW1 was started by a handful of Canaanites, that's true, but the central government could have been wiser and more level-headed by obeying God's Laws. Instead, they slaughtered our sons.) Never repenting, it did the same in World War 2, the Vietnam War and so on. It did not stop usury because they could tax it, it did not stop vaccinations, instead it took bribes to promote the poisoning of our folk, it did not stop the media, for it works hand in glove with the media to demoralize, propagandize and brain-dirty our folk. So, after some 100 years look where we are; abortion, drugs, loose sex, divorce, murders, theft, race-mixing, lies, and lots of crime; in short multiculturalism; Asoka's and Omri's tolerance of all things ungodly.

Seeing that the Constitution created the government which fore-does(destroys) freedom, it could not have been inspired by God (look how section 116 of the Constitution is blatantly against the First Commandment!). There is no benefit at all in having central government OTHER than for those who are in it or who prop it up. Central Government, be it headed by Presidents, Prime Ministers or man- kings from an unruly line of David, are not a blessing but a curse from God through Jesus, to thrash those that want to be ruled by men rather than by King Jesus directly. Yet few Israelites wake up to their wickedness, all they know in the end, is that things don't look too good. If by the time this is printed the laws become worse, then guess what? **Good!** Yes, that's right, because salvation from those that hate us is only a cry away.

Many times we were delivered from our foes, yet we never understood why we got the foes in the first place. But there comes a time when, before God delivers, He sends a teaching movement. In the book of Judges, God sent six deliverers, each time without a teaching movement. But in the case of Gideon, the folk cried out because of the weight of central government, (a Midianite government) was ruling over our folk, and we were 'greatly impoverished' (perhaps they had a GST?). Can you relate to that?

"...And the children of Israel cried out to the Lord." But no deliverer...yet. Instead, God sent a prophet. You can be sure the folk thought, 'that is not going to do.' But you see, they forgot that they were Israelites, they thought they were 'mere nations', like we do today. *"...And the prophet said unto them, Thus saith the Lord God of Israel, I*

BROUGHT YOU OUT OF EGYPT, and brought you forth out of the house of bondage; and I delivered you out of the hand of the Egyptians and out of the hand of all that oppressed you, and drove them out before you, and GAVE YOU THEIR LAND. And I said unto you, I am the Lord your God, fear not the gods (mighty men, their laws, their statutes, their central government) in whose land ye dwell: BUT YE HAVE NOT OBEYED MY VOICE." (ie. my law that I showed to Moses) Judges 6:6-10. Then God sent the deliverer but first let's study the foregoing points in Judges and then some from Malachi, though there are many other good examples.

The prophet, whose name is not given, gives a history lesson; You lot were delivered out of Egypt, you lot are...ISRAELITES, you are not 'mere nations'. You also have a God, a God that put you in bondage, then took you out and led you into other lands where others lived, and drove them out for you.

Notice, the teacher did not say; you are a bunch of Gentiles, you're all invaders and it's time to say sorry to the 'indigenous' ones that have been displaced and have an inter-faith prayer day. No, he said God GAVE you their land. Then he says that you have not obeyed God's Laws. This means they obeyed man's laws, and that is why God is angry with you, He put you into bondage to make you cry out. That style of teaching, teaching those things, is the spirit or mind of Elijah. That prophet operated under the mindset or spirit of God. This prophet did not come from the churches of his day. Clearly his teaching was unknown, ie. unheard of or radical. Clearly the churches of the day did not preach this. Is it not interesting to see the parallels between this mindset of the prophet of Gideon's time and that of 'Identity' or 'Elijah Christianity'? 'Identity' does not teach what the churches teach. Identity teachings are not taught in the churches nor do the Identity teachers get authority to teach from the churches. In fact, Elijah (Identity) Christianity is not taught anywhere else in establishment circles; not at Universities, not at TAFE, not at public school and so on and on. Yet, Identity teaches more truth than all the rest put together, because it teaches what God wants them to teach from His Word, without pandering to the triad and its melting-pot Fifth Columnists. It teaches; you are Israelites, that you came out of Egypt, that God put you into bondage when you forsake

His law because the Law still stands, that you should not fear man or his institutions, fear God, obey Him and you will be delivered. The Identity teaching is 100% identical to that of the prophet in Judges 6:7-10.

Now look at Malachi 4:5, ***“Behold, I send you Elijah the prophet (Elijah teaching) before the coming of the great (for us) and terrible (for them, our foes) day of the Lord.”*** What is the hallmark of this teaching? ***“Remember ye THE LAW of Moses my servant...”*** For who is the Law meant? ***“...which I commanded unto him in Horeb FOR ALL ISRAEL”*** Malachi 4:4 ***“And he shall turn the hearts of the fathers to the children..”*** (Identity fathers are more concerned for their children’s future, they seek to home-educate and to ensure their children wed Israelites so that the seed of Abraham is not mingled)..***“and the heart of the children to their fathers..”*** (besides shaping good family bonds, this verse also means that children are remembering their roots, learning that they are Israelites, remembering their father Abraham, to look ‘to the rock from whence they were hewn and the pit from whence they were digged.’ In so doing, they learn that God took THEIR forefathers out of Egypt.)

When will this ministry happen? When ***“...all the proud (unbelievers) yea, and all that do wickedly...”*** Malachi 4:1. Well, today is when wickedness is widespread. I do not mean street crime alone, I mean institutionalized crime ... government ... central government itself is a SIN...It is fullblown ‘Gilgal’. Those that remember the Law of God through Moses and seek to have their children be overcomers, by having their children learn their Israelite identity, also learn to fear God, not man. These are the overcomers, the called-out ones, the elect. Malachi 4:2-3, ***“But unto you that FEAR MY NAME (you who fit the description of knowing you are Israelites and remember the LAW) shall the son of righteousness arise with healing in His wings and ye shall go forth and grow up as calves of the stall AND ye shall tread down the wicked, for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet..”*** When? Malachi 4:1, when ***“..the day that cometh (that) shall burn them (the wicked) up...”***

Multiculturalism is a sign of how close the end is for Babylon, not for us. The Identity teaching IS the Elijah prophet. The deliverer is soon to begin His second work. That deliverer is King Jesus. The churches are

a false deliverer, they deliver us into bondage, and delivered the colored to have our inheritance. They have delivered for Christ, that which He did not ask for, a melting pot justified by the Asoka, Omri, Gilgal, Bal-aam doctrine. The doctrine of the 'Brotherhood of man' or 'we are all God's children'. And so, "***When the Son of man shall come (appear) in His glory*** (ie. putting the finishing touches to His kingdom) ***and His holy*** (called-out) ***angels*** (messengers, in this case the overcomers) ***with Him, then shall He sit upon the throne of His glory: AND BEFORE HIM SHALL BE GATHERED ALL NATIONS..***" (from 'Ethnos', ie. a melting pot of all races) Shall Jesus say, 'O thank you churches, what a lovely brotherhood of man?' NOT AT ALL! "***...and HE SHALL SEPARATE THEM ONE FROM ANOTHER..***"

What is He separating? The group or throng called 'Ethnos', where we get the word 'Ethnics'. The throng is not given a religious description, Matthew uses the word 'Ethnos'. The community that needs splitting up is being split; not on religious grounds, but on RACE! KING JESUS with His overcomers (overcomers not just from this age but also from times past who will have been resurrected by then, will reverse everything the kings, priests, and peddlers have worked on for so long.

Like Sunday, the seventh day of a cycle, is a day of 'REST WORK', the seventh millennium is a SUN of righteous day (or age). Yes, the Seventh Day Adventists will hate this, but the age to come is hallmarked by the sun. Malachi 4:2, "***..The Sun of righteousness shall arise.***" It is thus a Sun age, a long Sunday. It is not a Saturn-age or a long Saturday. That age will burn like and oven. It is a cleansing age of fire; God's Word is a consuming fire. Six long days of toil; six millenniums of toil the hard way. Then one long Sunny day of rest work, cleansing, then upon the eighth day; the world is clean.

I have rearranged the order of the verses in Malachi 4 to show the relevant points. So far I have simply used the term 'Elijah' teaching. One could take the term 'Elijah the prophet' as being literal. Notice, at the time of Christ, many did, but in Luke 1:13-17 we see that Elijah is a movement, a zeal, a mindset, a fuel, oil, spirit, teaching. "***...But the messenger said unto him, Fear not, Zachariah, for thy prayer is heard; and thy wife Elizabeth shall bear thee a son and thou shalt call his name Johannes*** (meaning God's gift). ***And thou shalt have mirth and***

gladness and many shall rejoice at his birth, for he shall be great in the sight of the Lord and shall neither drink wine nor strong drink and he shall be filled with the Holy Ghost even from his mother's womb. And many of the children of Israel shall he turn to the Lord their God, And he shall go before him in the spirit and power of Elijah to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just; to make ready a people prepared for the Lord." The folk made ready are those prepared for the Lord, the overcomers.

The patterns are the same as in Malachi and Judges. The message is for Israelites only; about them and their God. Turning hearts to the future through the children of Israel, grounded in the history of the forefathers of Israel, to make ready a FOLK, Israelite Folk, NONE OTHER for the Lord (see **The Exclusiveness of Israel**, by Eliel, from CIM). That means, the Lord is ready when we are. The Identity teaching is the prophet Elijah that comes as the false prophets, the churches, fade away. Where does this happen? In the lands of crowds, the mixed throngs. Only Israelite nations are multicultural, and only at this point in time in the church age which is now fading away. The melting-pot in the Hebrew tongue is Ar-ma-geddon! Armageddon does not mean 'battle'. Revelation 16:16. The root word is Megiddo, meaning 'place of crowds', implying mixed. Now think of Jesus being before all the nations mixed together in Matthew 25.

Armageddon, the place of mixed throngs, this cross references to Joel. Joel 3:1 begins with setting the stage of our captivity. In verse 2, God gathers all the nations (recall Matthew 25 and Revelation 16:16) into the dale of Jehoshaphat. Jehoshaphat means 'God's dooms', ie. God's judges. Dale or Valley of Jehoshaphat means, a pleasant land of God's judgement. God's judgement or doom denoting a land of God's Laws, or a land of His inheritance. These lands, God dooms/judges in a special way, a way to put right the lawlessness of His Israelite folk. A dale is hedged about by mountains. The word implies a land area shielded, fenced off. Think of the Kalash, folk of the Dales. Technically, we are all folk of the dales, we can be called Kalash! The words 'garden', 'town', 'borough,' 'haven,' or in Dutch garde, tuin and burg, hof, all mean 'and enclosed area, a protected or shielded area. Hence the true English word for citizen is 'burgess', one who lives in a borough or 'burger' in Dutch and German, meaning also a 'freeman'.

In an enclosed area, a dale, a garden, where the mindset of God is, there is freedom; there live freemen, the burgess, the Kalash; paraphrasing 2 Corinthians 3:17 hence the Garden of Eden. We read in verse 2 of Joel 3, that God dooms/judges the Israelites by using the heathen or nations which he brings into our 'dales' or pleasant lands, then when He is done with us, He dooms/judges the heathen. God dooms us to cleanse us, not to wipe us out, but He dooms the heathen because they overstep the length of time they are allowed to keep us in servitude (remember we were sold into their hands - the law of restitution), but they enjoy the plunder over us too much. And so in verse 12, ***“Let the heathen be wakened and come up to the ‘pleasant lands of God’s doom’ (valley/dale of Jehoshaphat) for there I will sit to judge all the heathen round about. Put ye in the sickle (for the wheat company, the church goers of the church age see parable of the Tares and the Wheat), for the harvest is ripe, (they’ve reached the end of their age), come get you down for the press is full (the wine press crushes the Israelite grape company, those Israelites that do not know God in any way), the vats overflow (there are plenty of them), for their wickedness is great. Multitudes, multitudes in the valley (dale) of decision (decision here means threshing, dale of threshing means the lands of the wheat harvest. Only Saxon lands have the wheat company, ie Judeo-Christians of Israelite stock), for the day of the Lord is near...”*** When is it near? When it is time for threshing. We know when it is the right time because the title 'dale of threshing' is only apt when all the nations are gathered in our lands. So let's end that verse, ***“...is near in the valley/dale of ‘threshing’/decision.”***

In verse 16 we read this is good news for His folk, the children of Israel. In verse 17 we see the outcome of Matthew 25, ***“...and He shall separate the Ethnos (nations).”*** In other words He will undo the multi-racialism/culturalism ***“...then shall (new) Jerusalem be holy (in a state of apartness or fully under apartheid) and there shall no strangers (zuwr) pass through her any more.”*** The same point is made very clear in Jeremiah 50:35-37. ***“A sword is upon the Chaldeans saith the Lord (Chaldea was by then multi-cultural as you’ll see)...and upon the inhabitants of Babylon...and upon ALL THE MINGLED PEOPLE that are in the midst of her...”*** The fall of old Babylon sets the pattern for that of Neo-Babylon (which has overstepped her allocated time that she can

lawfully hang onto us.) We can see from all this that the Identity teaching is the ‘prophet of Elijah’ that has a special message for Israelites only. A message that comes about in the lands of our Saxon-Celtic-Israelite kin, those who are Abraham’s kin, those who live in the dales and the gardens. Those who await the deliverance out of the hands of those that hate us. It is not enough to know this, as overcomers we are called to take part in spreading the Elijah teaching, after all, it is all Israel that needs turning, not just those Israelites who know that they are Israelites. Turn your hearts to the children, teach your children this so that they will turn their hearts to our fathers. Like the Pharaoh in Egypt, Babylon has hardened her heart. God did this. Why? So that Babylon, as Egypt before, would overstep her right to hold us captive. This means, Babylon is stealing, she steals because our sentence is over. Now Babylon must go into captivity and pay us restitution. Like our forefathers left Egypt taking Egyptian spoils, we too can lawfully do unto Babylon “*double as she has done unto us*” when God delivers us. (Revelation 18:6)

What a great and wonderful King we have. The monarchy or Presidents will never do this for us, namely, set us free from our captors and then let us lawfully plunder them double as they’ve done unto us. “*Great and wonderful are thy works, Lord God Almighty, just and true are thy ways, thou King of the called-out ones...*” Revelation 18:3.

Chapter 14. Abraham’s Seed: Now and Forever?

Can the Words, “Fathers”, “Abraham”, “Isaac”, “Jacob”, and “Israel” in the New Testament Be Spiritualized? Based on the booklet of the same name by Arnold Kennedy and adapted for “**The Fall and Rise of Abraham’s Kin**” by Adam de Witt with *permission*. My apologies to Arnold Kennedy should parts adapted be displeasing to him, though all care has been taken not to make changes other than to make his booklet fit snugly into the format of my writ. (Italicised text by Adam de Witt)

The popular view is that the names, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and Israel now have spiritual meanings in their application, since the promises made to these ‘fathers’ are now meant to apply to those of every race who “accept Jesus” or are “born again” in the popular but wrong language. These of every race are meant to be “The Church” as a new

identity.

It is not until we make a list of all the 150 New Testament verses with the words, “Fathers”, “Abraham”, “Isaac”, “Jacob” and “Israel” that we can see these are all used in the historical application. We have to ask why they are all not commonly accepted in the way the New Testament uses them. There are only 19 verses that could even remotely be taken to having spiritual meaning only, or of extending the application beyond Israel. How could any of the 19 over-ride the 131 other verses, yet that is what the churches try to do. In the list to follow, these 19 verses are printed with a star * for quick find. The answer to each of these 19 verses is answered in the book, “**The Exclusiveness of Israel**”. (available from CIM). It is recommended that the reader reads right through all this list, because there are a multitude of points that deny the popular universal evangelistic way in which some of these 19 verses are interpreted and applied. For instance, when we read how that, “*the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children*”, where ‘children’ means offspring, ask yourself how this could include any but offspring of Israel, as those children. There is a huge weight of evidence through the Bible against the popular acceptance. The whole 150 verses show that Israel, as a folk is still very exclusive (Holy) in the sight of the Lord. There is no place for mixture or of a “spiritual Israel” and a “natural” Israel co-existing. Our unchanging God could not have changed from the time He said: “*The Lord God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob hath sent me unto you (the Children of Israel) this is my name forever...*” Exodus 3:15 We can see that this carries on through the New Testament, e.g. “*I am the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob...*” Matthew 22:32

So let us look through all the New Testament verses with the words, “Fathers”, “Abraham”, “Isaac”, “Jacob” and “Israel” therein to see if anything really is changed in the New Testament. Then make up your own mind! The critical words are highlighted in bold print so that they can not be missed. Think through the implications of what the words in bold print mean as you read.

NEW TESTAMENT VERSES WITH “FATHERS” THEREIN
[MEANING FATHERS OF ISRAEL] - (ALL KJV REFERENCES).

Luke 1:17 And he shall go before him in the spirit and power of Elias, to turn the hearts of **the fathers** to the children, and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just; to make ready a people prepared for the Lord.

Luke 1:55 As he spake to our fathers, to **Abraham**, and to his seed for ever.

Luke 1:72 To perform the mercy (kindness){promised} to our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant;

Luke 6:26 Woe unto you, when all men shall speak well of you! for so did their **fathers** to the false prophets.

John 6:31 Our **fathers** did eat manna in the desert; as it is written, He gave them bread from heaven to eat.

John 6:49 Your **fathers** did eat manna in the wilderness, and are dead.

John 7:22 Moses therefore gave unto you circumcision; (not because it is of Moses, but of the **fathers**;) and ye on the sabbath day circumcise a man.

Acts 3:13 The God of **Abraham**, and of **Isaac**, and of **Jacob**, the God of our **fathers**, hath glorified his Son Jesus; whom ye delivered up, and denied him in the presence of Pilate, when he was determined to let {him} go.

Acts 3:22 For Moses truly said unto the **fathers**, A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me; him shall ye hear in all things whatsoever he shall say unto you.

Acts 3:25 Ye are the children of the prophets, and of the covenant which God made with our fathers,

Acts 5:30 The God of our fathers raised up Jesus, whom ye slew and hanged on a tree.

Acts 7:15 So Jacob went down into Egypt, and died, he, and our fathers,

Acts 7:19 The same dealt subtly with our kindred, and evil entreated our fathers, so that they cast out their young children, to the end they might not live.

Acts 7:11 Now there came a dearth over all the land of Egypt and Canaan, and great affliction: and our fathers found no sustenance.

Acts 7:32 {Saying}, I {am} the God of thy **fathers**, the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**. Then Moses trembled, and durst not behold.

Acts 7:38 This is he, that was in the church in the wilderness with the

angel which spake to him in the mount Sinai, and {with} **our fathers**: who received the lively oracles to give unto us: To whom **our fathers** would not obey, but thrust {him} from them, and in their hearts turned back again into Egypt.

Acts 7:44 **Our fathers** had the tabernacle of witness in the wilderness, as he had appointed, speaking unto Moses, that he should make it according to the fashion that he had seen.

Acts 7:51 Ye stiffnecked and uncircumcised in heart and ears, ye do always resist the Holy Ghost: as your **fathers** {did}, so {do} ye. Which of the prophets have not your **fathers** persecuted? and they have slain them which shewed before the coming of the Just One; of whom ye have been now the betrayers and murderers:

Acts 13:17 The God of this people of **Israel** chose **our fathers**, and exalted the people when they dwelt as strangers in the land of Egypt, and with an high arm brought he them out of it.

Acts 15:10 Now therefore why tempt ye God, to put a yoke upon the neck of the disciples, which neither **our fathers** nor we were able to bear?

Acts 22:1 Men, brethren, and **fathers**, hear ye my defence {which I make} now unto you. **Acts 22:2** (And when they heard that he spake in the Hebrew tongue to them, they kept the more still: and he saith,)

Acts 22:3 I am verily a man {which am} a Judean, born in Tarsus, {a city} in Cilicia, yet brought up in this city at the feet of Gamaliel, {and} taught according to the perfect manner of the law of the **fathers**, and was zealous toward God, as ye all are this day.

Acts 22:14 And he said, The God of **our fathers** hath chosen thee, that thou shouldest know his will, and see that Just One, and shouldest hear the voice of his mouth.

Acts 24:14 But this I confess unto thee, that after the way which they call heresy, so worship I the God of **my fathers**, believing all things which are written in the law and in the prophets:

Acts 26:6 And now I stand and am judged for the hope of the promise made of God unto **our fathers**:

Acts 28:17 And it came to pass, that after three days Paul called the chief of the Judeans together: and when they were come together, he said unto them, Men {and} brethren, though I have committed nothing

against the people, or customs of **our fathers**, yet was I delivered prisoner from Jerusalem into the hands of the Romans.

Acts 28:25 And when they agreed not among themselves, they left, after that Paul had spoken one word, Well spake the Holy Ghost by Esaias the prophet unto **our fathers**,

Rom 9:5 Whose {are} the **fathers**, and of whom as concerning the flesh Christ {came}, who is over all, God blessed for ever. Amen.

Rom 11:28 As concerning the gospel, {they are} foes for your sakes: but as touching the election, {they are} beloved for the **fathers'** sakes.

Rom 15:8 Now I say that Jesus Christ was a minister of the circumcision for the truth of God, to confirm the promises {made} unto the **fathers**:

1 Cor 10:1 Moreover, brethren, I would not that ye should be ignorant, how that all **our fathers** were under the cloud, and all passed through the sea;

Gal 1:14 And profited in the Jews' religion above many my equals in mine own nation, being more exceedingly zealous of the traditions of **my fathers**.

Heb 1:1 God, who at sundry times and in divers manners spake in time past unto the **fathers** by the prophets,

Heb 3:9 When your **fathers** tempted me, proved me, and saw my works forty years.

Heb 8:9 Not according to the covenant that I made with their **fathers** in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; because they continued not in my covenant, and I regarded them not, saith the Lord.

1 Pet 1:18 Forasmuch as ye know that ye were not redeemed with corruptible things, {as} silver and gold, from your vain conversation {received} by tradition from your **fathers**;

2 Pet 3:4 And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the **fathers** fell asleep, all things carry on as {they were} from the beginning of the creation.

THE NEW TESTAMENT VERSES WITH "ABRAHAM" THEREIN

Matt 22:32 I am the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the

God of **Jacob**? God is not the God of the dead, but of the living.

Luke 1:55 As he spake to our fathers, to **Abraham**, and to his seed for ever.

Luke 1:73 The oath which he sware to our father Abraham,

Luke 3:34 Which was {the son} of **Jacob**, which was {the son} of **Isaac**, which was {the son} of **Abraham**, which was {the son} of **Thera**, which was {the son} of **Nachor**,

Luke 13:28 There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth, when ye shall see **Abraham**, and **Isaac**, and **Jacob**, and all the prophets, in the kingdom of God, and you {yourselves} thrust out.

Luke 20:37 Now that the dead are raised, even **Moses** shewed at the bush, when he calleth the Lord the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**.

Acts 3:13 The God of **Abraham**, and of **Isaac**, and of **Jacob**, the God of our fathers, hath glorified his Son **Jesus**; whom ye delivered up, and denied him in the presence of **Pilate**, when he was determined to let {him} go.

Acts 7:8 And he gave him the covenant of circumcision: and so {**Abraham**} begat **Isaac**, and circumcised him the eighth day; and **Isaac** {begat} **Jacob**; and **Jacob** {begat} the twelve patriarchs.

***Acts 13:26** Men {and} brethren, children of the stock of Abraham, and whosoever among you feareth God, [The 'whosoever among you feareth God' does not insist they are not Israelites].

***Rom 4:1-3** What shall we say then that **Abraham** our father, as pertaining to the flesh, hath found? For if **Abraham** were justified by works, he hath {whereof} to glory; but not before God. For what saith the scripture? **Abraham** believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness. [This does not insist others who believe God become Israelites]

***Rom 4:9** {Cometh} this blessedness then upon the circumcision {only}, or upon the uncircumcision also? for we say that faith was reckoned to **Abraham** for righteousness. [The circumcised are the House of **Judah** and the uncircumcised are the House of **Israel**]

Rom 4:12 And the father of circumcision to them who are not of the circumcision only, but who also walk in the steps of that faith of our father Abraham, which {he had} being {yet} uncircumcised.

Rom 4:13 For the promise, that he should be the heir of the world, {was} not to **Abraham**, or to his seed, through the law, but through the righteousness of faith.

***Rom 4:16** Therefore {it is} of faith, that {it might be} by grace; to the end the promise might be sure to all the seed; not to that only which is of the law, but to that also which is of the faith of **Abraham**; who is the father of us all, {i.e. all of Israel} .. [‘Us all’ means all us Israelites].

Rom 9:7 Neither, because they are the seed of **Abraham**, {are they} all children: but, In **Isaac** shall thy seed be called.

Rom 11:1 I say then, Hath God cast away his people? God forbid. For I also am an **Israelite**, of the seed of **Abraham**, of the tribe of Benjamin.

2 Cor 11:22 Are they Hebrews? so {am} I. Are they **Israelites**? so {am} I. Are they the seed of **Abraham**? so {am} I.

***Gal 3:6-9** Even as **Abraham** believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness. Know ye therefore that they which are of faith, the same are the children of **Abraham**. And the scripture, foreseeing that God would justify the heathen [the same word as is translated as ‘people’ and which is also used of Israel] through faith, preached before the gospel unto **Abraham**, {saying}, In thee shall all nations be blessed. So then they which be of faith are blessed with faithful **Abraham**. [‘Be blessed’ is reflective, i.e. they would bless themselves].

***Gal 3:14** That the blessing of **Abraham** might come on the nations [or ‘gentiles’ meaning people or nations of **Israel**. The manufactured meaning is invalid] through Jesus Christ; that we might receive the promise of the Spirit through faith.

***Gal 3:16** Now to **Abraham** and his seed were the promises made. He saith not, And to seeds, as of many; but as of one, And to thy seed, which is Christ [Here “Christ” is a verbal adjective and thus it is **Abraham**’s seed that is anointed = “christ”].

Gal 3:18 For if the inheritance {be} of the law, {it is} no more of promise: but God gave {it} to **Abraham** by promise.

Gal 4:22 For it is written, that **Abraham** had two sons, the one by a bondmaid, the other by a freewoman.

Heb 2:16 For verily he took not on {him the nature of} angels; but he took on {him} the seed of **Abraham**.

Heb 6:13 For when God made promise to **Abraham**, because he could

swear by no greater, he sware by himself,

Heb 7:1-6 For this Melchizedek, king of Salem, priest of the most high God, who met **Abraham** returning from the slaughter of the kings, and blessed him; To whom also **Abraham** gave a tenth part of all; first being by interpretation King of righteousness, and after that also King of Salem, which is, King of peace; Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but made like unto the Son of God; abideth a priest always. Now bethink how great this man {was}, unto whom even the patriarch **Abraham** gave the tenth of the spoils. And verily they that are of the sons of Levi, who receive the office of the priesthood, have a commandment to take tithes of the people according to the law, that is, of their brethren, though they come out of the loins of **Abraham**: But he whose descent is not counted from them received tithes of **Abraham**, and blessed him that had the promises.

Heb 11:8 By faith **Abraham**, when he was called to go out into a place which he should after receive for an inheritance, obeyed; and he went out, not knowing whither he went.

Heb 11:17 By faith **Abraham**, when he was tried, offered up **Isaac**: and he that had received the promises offered up his only begotten {son},

James 2:21 Was not **Abraham** our father justified by works, when he had offered **Isaac** his son upon the altar? Seest thou how faith wrought with his works, and by works was faith made perfect? And the scripture was fulfilled which saith, **Abraham** believed God, and it was imputed unto him for righteousness: and he was called the Friend of God.

1 Pet 3:6 Even as Sara obeyed **Abraham**, calling him lord: whose daughters ye are, as long as ye do well, and are not afraid with any amazement.

NEW TESTAMENT VERSES WITH "ISAAC" THEREIN

Matt 1:2 **Abraham** begat **Isaac**; and **Isaac** begat **Jacob**; and **Jacob** begat Judas and his brethren;

Matt 22:32 I am the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**? God is not the God of the dead, but of the living.

Mark 12:26 And as touching the dead, that they rise: have ye not read in

the book of Moses, how in the bush God spake unto him, saying, I {am} the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**? **Luke 3:34** Which was {the son} of **Jacob**, which was {the son} of **Isaac**, which was {the son} of **Abraham**, which was {the son} of **Terah**, which was {the son} of **Nachor**,

Luke 13:28 There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth, when ye shall see **Abraham**, and **Isaac**, and **Jacob** and all the prophets, in the kingdom of God, and you {yourselves} thrust out.

Luke 20:37 Now that the dead are raised, even Moses shewed at the bush, when he calleth the Lord the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**.

Acts 3:13 The God of **Abraham**, and of **Isaac**, and of **Jacob**, the God of our fathers, hath glorified his Son Jesus; whom ye delivered up, and denied him in the presence of Pilate, when he was determined to let {him} go.

Acts 7:8 And he gave him the covenant of circumcision: and so {**Abraham**} begat **Isaac**, and circumcised him the eighth day; and **Isaac** {begat} **Jacob**; and **Jacob** {begat} the twelve patriarchs.

Acts 7:32 {Saying}, I {am} the God of thy fathers, the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**. Then Moses trembled, and durst not behold.

Rom 9:7 Neither, because they are the seed of **Abraham**, {are they} all children: but, In **Isaac** shall thy seed be called.

Rom 9:10 And not only {this}; but when Rebecca also had conceived by one, {even} by our father **Isaac**;

***Gal 4:28** Now we, brethren, as **Isaac** was, are the children of promise.

Heb 11:9 By faith he dwelt in the land of promise, as {in} a strange country, dwelling in tabernacles with **Isaac** and **Jacob**, the heirs with him of the same promise:

Heb 11:17 By faith **Abraham**, when he was tried, offered up **Isaac**: and he that had received the promises offered up his only begotten {son},

James 2:21 Was not **Abraham** our father justified by works, when he had offered **Isaac** his son upon the altar?

NEW TESTAMENT VERSES WITH "JACOB" THEREIN

Matt 2:1 **Abraham** begat **Isaac**; and **Isaac** begat **Jacob**; and **Jacob**

begat Judas and his brethren;

Matt 1:15-16 And Eliud begat Eleazar; and Eleazar begat Matthan; and Matthan begat **Jacob**; And **Jacob** begat Joseph the husband of Mary, of whom was born Jesus, who is called Christ.

Matt 8:11 And I say unto you, That many shall come from the east and west, and shall sit down with **Abraham**, and **Isaac**, and **Jacob**, in the kingdom of heaven.

Matt 22:32 I am the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**? God is not the God of the dead, but of the living.

Mark 12:26 And as touching the dead, that they rise: have ye not read in the book of Moses, how in the bush God spake unto him, saying, I {am} the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**?

Luke 1:33 And he shall reign over the house of **Jacob** for ever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end.

Luke 3:34 Which was {the son} of **Jacob**, which was {the son} of **Isaac**, which was {the son} of **Abraham**, which was {the son} of Terah, which was {the son} of Nachor,

Luke 13:28 There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth, when ye shall see **Abraham**, and **Isaac**, and **Jacob**, and all the prophets, in the kingdom of God, and you {yourselves} thrust out.

Luke 20:37 Now that the dead are raised, even Moses shewed at the bush, when he calleth the Lord the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**.

John 4:5 Then cometh he to a city of Samaria, which is called Sychar, near to the parcel of ground that **Jacob** gave to his son Joseph.

John 4:12 Art thou greater than our father **Jacob**, which gave us the well, and drank thereof himself, and his children, and his cattle?

Acts 3:13 The God of **Abraham**, and of **Isaac**, and of **Jacob**, the God of our fathers, hath glorified his Son Jesus; whom ye delivered up, and denied him in the presence of Pilate, when he was determined to let {him} go.

Acts 7:8 And he gave him the covenant of circumcision: and so {**Abraham**} begat **Isaac**, and circumcised him the eighth day; and **Isaac** {begat} **Jacob**; and **Jacob** {begat} the twelve patriarchs.

Acts 7:12,15 But when **Jacob** heard that there was corn in Egypt, he sent out our fathers first. And at the second time Joseph was made

known to his brethren; and Joseph's kindred was made known unto Pharaoh. Then sent Joseph, and called his father **Jacob** to him, and all his kindred, threescore and fifteen souls. So **Jacob** went down into Egypt, and died, he, and **our fathers**,

Acts 7:32 {Saying}, I {am} the God of thy **fathers**, the God of **Abraham**, and the God of **Isaac**, and the God of **Jacob**. Then Moses trembled, and durst not behold.

Acts 7:46 Who found favor before God, and desired to find a tabernacle for the God of **Jacob**.

Rom 9:13 As it is written, **Jacob** have I loved, but Esau have I hated.

Rom 11:26 And so all **Israel** shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from **Jacob**:

Heb 11:9 By faith **he** (Abraham) dwelt in the land of promise, as {in} a strange country, dwelling in tabernacles with **Isaac** and **Jacob**, the heirs with him of the same promise:

Heb 11:20 By faith **Isaac** blessed **Jacob** and Esau concerning things to come.

Heb 11:21 By faith **Jacob**, when he was a dying, blessed both the sons of Joseph; leaning upon the top of his staff.

NEW TESTAMENT VERSES WITH "ISRAEL" THEREIN

Matt 2:6 And thou Bethlehem, {in} the land of Juda, art not the least among the princes of Juda: for out of thee shall come a Governor, that shall rule my people **Israel**.

Matt 2:20 Saying, Arise, and take the young child and his mother, and go into the land of **Israel**: for they are dead which sought the young child's life. And he arose, and took the young child and his mother, and came into the land of **Israel**.

Matt 8:10 When Jesus heard {it}, he marvelled, and said to them that followed, Verily I say unto you, I have not found so great faith, no, not in **Israel**.

Matt 9:33 And when the evil was cast out, the dumb spake: and the multitudes marvelled, saying, It was never so seen in **Israel**.

Matt 10:6 But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of **Israel**.

Matt 10:23 But when they persecute you in this city, flee ye into

another: for verily I say unto you, Ye shall not have gone over the cities of **Israel**, till the Son of man be come.

Matt 15:24 But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of **Israel**.

Matt 15:31 Insomuch that the multitude wondered, when they saw the dumb to speak, the maimed to be whole, the lame to walk, and the blind to see: and they glorified the God of **Israel**.

Matt 19:28 And Jesus said unto them, Verily I say unto you, That ye which have followed me, in the regeneration when the Son of man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of **Israel**.

Matt 27:9 Then was fulfilled that which was spoken by Jeremy the prophet, saying, And they took the thirty pieces of silver, the price of him that was valued, whom they of the children of **Israel** did value;

Matt 27:42 He saved others; himself he cannot save. If he be the King of **Israel**, let him now come down from the cross, and we will believe him.

Mark 12:29 And Jesus answered him, The first of all the commandments {is}, Hear, O **Israel**; The Lord our God is one Lord:

Mark 15:32 Let Christ the King of **Israel** come down now from the cross, that we may see and believe. And they that were crucified with him reviled him.

Luke 1:16 And many of the children of **Israel** shall he turn to the Lord their God.

Luke 1:54 He hath holpen his servant **Israel**, in remembrance of {his} mercy;

Luke 1:68 Blessed {be} the Lord God of **Israel**; for he hath visited and redeemed his people,

Luke 1:80 And the child grew, and waxed strong in spirit, and was in the deserts till the day of his shewing unto **Israel**.

Luke 2:25 And, behold, there was a man in Jerusalem, whose name {was} Simeon; and the same man {was} just and devout, waiting for the consolation of **Israel**: and the Holy Ghost was upon him.

***Luke 2:32** A light to lighten the nations [ethnos, which is also used of **Israel**], and the glory of thy people = laos] **Israel**.

Luke 2:34 And Simeon blessed them, and said unto Mary his mother,

Behold, this {child} is set for the fall and rising again of many in **Israel**; and for a sign which shall be spoken against;

Luke 4:25 But I tell you of a truth, many widows were in **Israel** in the days of Elias, when the heaven was shut up three years and six months, when great famine was throughout all the land;

***Luke 4:27** And many lepers were in **Israel** in the time of Eliseus the prophet; and none of them was cleansed, saving Naaman the Syrian. [This tells where he was from, but not his race].

***Luke 7:9** When Jesus heard these things, he marvelled at him, and turned him about, and said unto the people that followed him, I say unto you, I have not found so great faith, no, not in **Israel**. [This centurion can easily be identified as being of Israelite extraction].

Luke 22:30 That ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom, and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of **Israel**. But we trusted that it had been he which should have **redeemed Israel**: and besides all this, today is the third day since these things were done.

John 1:31 And I knew him not: but that he should be made manifest to **Israel**, therefore am I come baptizing with water.

John 1:49 Nathanael answered and saith unto him, Rabbi, thou art the Son of God; thou art the **King of Israel**.

John 3:10 Jesus answered and said unto him, Art thou a master of **Israel**, and knowest not these things?

John 12:13 Took branches of palm trees, and went forth to meet him, and cried, Hosanna: Blessed {is} the **King of Israel** that cometh in the name of the Lord.

Acts 1:6 When they therefore were come together, they asked of him, saying, Lord, wilt thou at this time give back again the kingdom to **Israel**?

Acts 2:22 Ye men of **Israel**, hear these words; Jesus of Nazareth, a man approved of God among you by miracles and wonders and signs, which God did by him in the midst of you, as ye yourselves also know:

Acts 2:36 Therefore let all the house of **Israel** know assuredly, that God hath made that same Jesus, whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ.

Acts 3:12 And when Peter saw {it}, he answered unto the people, Ye men of **Israel**, why marvel ye at this? or why look ye so earnestly on us,

as though by our own power or holiness we had made this man to walk?
Acts 4:8 Then Peter, filled with the Holy Ghost, said unto them, Ye rulers of the people, and elders of Israel,

Acts 4:10 Be it known unto you all, and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of Jesus Christ of Nazareth, whom ye crucified, whom God raised from the dead, {even} by him doth this man stand here before you whole.

Acts 4:27 For of a truth against thy holy child Jesus, whom thou hast anointed, both Herod, and Pontius Pilate, with the nations, and the people of Israel, were gathered together,

Acts 5:21 And when they heard {that}, they came into the temple early in the morning, and taught. But the high priest came, and they that were with him, and called the council together, and all the senate of the children of Israel, and sent to the prison to have them brought.

Acts 5:31 Him hath God exalted with his right hand {to be} a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repentance to Israel, and forgiveness of sins.

Acts 5:35 And said unto them, Ye men of Israel, take heed to yourselves what ye intend to do as touching these men.

Acts 7:23 And when he was full forty years old, it came into his heart to visit his brethren the children of Israel.

Acts 7:37 This is that Moses, which said unto the children of Israel, A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me; him shall ye hear.

Acts 7:42 Then God turned, and gave them up to worship the host of heaven; as it is written in the book of the prophets, O ye house of Israel, have ye offered to me slain beasts and sacrifices {spanning} forty years in the wilderness?

***Acts 9:15** But the Lord said unto him, Go thy way: for he is a chosen vessel unto me, to bear my name before the Gentiles [=people, or nations], and kings, and the children of Israel:

Acts 10:36 The word which {God} sent unto the children of Israel, preaching peace by Jesus Christ: (he is Lord of all:)

Acts 13:16 Then Paul stood up, and beckoning with {his} hand said, Men of Israel, and ye that fear God, give ear. The God of this people of Israel chose our fathers, and exalted the people when they dwelt as strangers in the land of Egypt, and with an high arm brought he them out

of it.

Acts 13:33 God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children, in that he hath raised up Jesus again; as it is also written in the second psalm, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee. And as concerning that he raised him up from the dead, now no more to return to corruption, he said on this wise, I will give you the sure mercies of David.

Acts 21:28 Crying out, Men of Israel, help: This is the man, that teacheth all {men} every where against the people, and the law, and this place: and further brought Greeks also into the temple, and hath polluted this holy place.

Acts 28:20 For this cause therefore have I called for you, to see {you}, and to speak with {you}: because that for **the hope of Israel** I am bound with this chain.

***Rom 9:6** Not as though the word of God hath taken none effect. For they {are} not all Israel, which are of Israel:

Rom 9:27 Esaias also crieth concerning Israel, Though the number of the children of Israel be as the sand of the sea, a remnant shall be saved:

Rom 9:31 But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness.

Rom 10:1 Brethren, my heart's want and prayer to God for Israel is, that they might be saved.

***Rom 10:19** But I say, Did not Israel know? First Moses saith, I will provoke you to jealousy by {them that are} no people, {and} by a foolish nation I will anger you.

Rom 10:21 But to Israel he saith, All day long I have stretched forth my hands unto a disobedient and gainsaying people.

Rom 11:2 God hath not cast away his people which he foreknew. Wot ye not what the scripture saith of Elias? how he maketh intercession to God against Israel, saying,

Rom 11:7 What then? Israel hath not won that which he seeketh for; but the election hath obtained it, and the rest were blinded.

Rom 11:25 For I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, lest ye should be wise in your own conceits; that blindness in part is happened to Israel, until the fullness of the nations be come in.

And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness **from Jacob**.

1 Cor 10:18 Behold Israel after the flesh: are not they which eat of the sacrifices partakers of the altar?

2 Cor 3:7 But if the ministration of death, written {and} engraven in stones, was glorious, so that the children of **Israel** could not stedfastly behold the face of Moses for the glory of his countenance; which {glory} was to be done away:

2 Cor 3:13 And not as Moses, {which} put a veil over his face, that the children of **Israel** could not stedfastly look to the end of that which is abolished:

***Gal 6:16** And as many as walk according to this rule, peace {be} on them, and mercy, and upon the **Israel of God**. *

***Eph 2:12** That at that time ye were without Christ, being aliens from the commonwealth of **Israel**, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without God in the world:

Phil 3:5 Circumcised the eighth day, of the stock of Israel, {of} the tribe of Benjamin, an Hebrew of the Hebrews; as touching the law, a Pharisee;

Heb 8:8 For finding fault with them, he saith, Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when I will make a new covenant with the house of **Israel** and with the house of Judah: Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; because they carried on not in my covenant, and I regarded them not, saith the Lord. For this {is} the covenant that I will make with the house of **Israel** after those days, saith the Lord; I will put my laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people:

Heb 11:22 By faith Joseph, when he died, made mention of the leaving of the children of **Israel**; and gave commandment concerning his bones.

Rev 2:14 But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the doctrine of Balaam, who taught Balac to cast a stumbling block before the children of **Israel**, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to carry out fornication.

Rev 7:4 And I heard the number of them which were sealed: {and there were} sealed an hundred {and} forty {and} four thousand of all the tribes of the children of **Israel**.

Rev 21:12 And had a wall great and high, {and} had twelve gates, and at the gates twelve angels, and names written thereon, which are {the

names} of the twelve tribes of the children of Israel:

Jewish scholars know they themselves are not Israelites, so as a safety valve, they try and hide behind the lost ten tribe myth, and hope our folk will welcome them as remnants of the 2 tribes. But 2 Kings 17 shows that all 12 tribes were taken by the Assyrians, it was only three towns that could not be taken. (A deW)

THE PRESENT IDENTITY OF ISRAEL AS A FOLK

Four quotes from Jewish sources may personally help those who have been led to believe that the word “Jews” always relates to Israelites, and who might be wondering where they fit in.

1. From Alfred M. Lilienthal’s book “What Price **Israel**”. “Here’s a paradox: an anthropological fact, many Christians have more Hebrew-Israelite blood in their veins than their Jewish neighbors.”

2. The Jewish author Yair Davidy in his book “The Tribes - **Israelite** Origins of Western Peoples” [Foreword by Rabbi A. Field] tells in much detail that the Saxon folks are **Israel**, *though he pushes the Armstrong and British Israel line of the 10 tribes lost, the 2 tribes being Jews and the Germans as Assyrians. The reality is that the Germans are mostly Judah and Gad (Goth is short for Gut-thiuda, Gad’s troops. Thiuda-troops, Thiuda-Teut-Duit-Deut; Deutsch-Troopfolk, namely of Gad). The Jews today are in fact Assyrians.*

3. Jewish author Harry Golden wrote in 1967, “Isaiah the prophet wrote that the remnant of Yahweh’s people would be found in the Islands of the sea.”

4. Jewish Encyclopedia Val. 2, P250 The Sacae or Scythians (Saxons), are the lost ten tribes of **Israel**. (Note again the 10 tribe nonsense and that it is a Jewish hearsay)

Who is a liar, but he that denies Jesus is the Christ, ie the Jews and their proselytes. So, even when they confess that Saxons are Israelites they need to lie their way into the picture. Sorry, an Edomite is still an Edomite by any other name. Caucasia was where the 12 tribed House of Israel had been in captivity. This is where the word “Caucasian” we use today as a racial description began. The migrations towards the British Islands and Europe are well documented. The Islands mentioned by Harry Golden can be shown as being North and West of Palestine, ie. the United Kingdom. The reader is likely to be Caucasian, Anglo-Saxon, Celtic, or Nordic, who can be shown to be Israelites, apart from the

white Japhethic and Edomic content-[Genesis 9:27 and 16:12], and the obvious outlanders, who have moved in amongst them. In the Divine foreknowledge, true Israelite posterity holds the inherent ‘spirit’ characteristics with which God purposed should be used to bring peace to the world and the casting down of evil strongholds (*namely the triad*) over our folk, under the righteous rule of Jesus Christ.

At the start of the chapter it was noted; *“The Lord God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: this is my name forever, and this is my memorial unto all generations.”* (of Abraham’s kin). We can add: Isaiah 43:3-7 *“For I am the Lord thy God, the Holy One of Israel, thy Saviour: ... Even every one that is called by my name: for I have created him for my glory, I have formed him; yea, I have made him.”*

Rom 9:18 *Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will {have mercy}, and whom he will he hardeneth.*

This Biblical discrimination or election and calling on the basis of race, as shown in the last verse, is what the churches fight. This is why the denominations still “find fault” and fight to stick to their interpretation of the 19 out of 150 verses bearing any of the words Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and Israel. When they pray and call upon the “Name of the Lord”, in their minds they think of God being the Baal [Lord] of all races as the false prophets did on Mt. Carmel. But Elijah called upon the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and the fire swallowed up the offering. Hence Elijah teaching; teaching the exclusiveness of Israel. Denominations put a made-up meaning upon the word “Gentiles” and hang onto “all the world” as meaning “all the inhabited earth” rather than to the limitation of “all the *kosmos* of Israel”. The word ‘world’ is not *oikoumene*! The church is called out of Israel; that is, the *huios* = ‘sons’ of Israel are called out of the *teknon* = ‘children’ of Israel. The many of Israel are called, but few are chosen.

Hosea 2:16-17 [speaking of Israel] *And it shall be at that day, saith the LORD, that thou shalt call me Ishi; and shalt call me no more Balli. For I will take away the names of Baalim out of her mouth, and they shall no more be remembered by their name.*

Chapter 15. TO WHOM DOES GRACE ABOUND

Too many folks think that the 'grace' that was given us allows us to get off free from correction. Grace, like mercy, means kindness. It is a deed of love. But the Bible points out who he that does not correct his child hates his child. Paul asks, Now that we are under grace (kindness) may lawlessness abound? He answers 'God forbid'. Kindness is given as a gift, the gift ought to be taken by returning the favor by being kind to the giver. How can we be kind to the giver? Jesus said, If you love me, do my will. Obeying the Law does not earn your salvation, but nor does faith. Salvation is a free gift given to you. Salvation was given by a stand-in-our-place lamb. King Jesus, the Lamb, died instead of us, we were lawless, not Jesus. Be washed (baptized) because(for) you have been redeemed. Baptizing or washing (does not mean dunking as such) is done not to be saved but because you've been saved, it's an after the fact deed. This is brought out in the law of the red heifer. When a man was slain in the field but the murderer was unknown, the elders of the nearest town had to save the population of their town from God's anger, as the blood guilt fell upon the town's folk. To spare their lives a red (Adam) heifer was killed instead, burnt, and the ashes mixed in water and sprinkled over the folk (they were not dunked). The elders then washed their hands and claimed the blood guilt to be upon the heifer and not on the folk. They were saved not by the actual sprinkling or hands-washing (baptized hands) but rather by the slain heifer. This pattern was repeated when Pontius Pilate washed his hands. The red heifer law was a fore-shadow of Jesus' slaying. When Jesus was slain, the blood of heifers was no longer needed as He was it. It means we were saved regardless of baptism. Baptism was needed to be a sign or 'zion' of the New Covenant between the Israelites who said "He is King" and Jesus who became King when the sacrificial system of the Passover Age came to a close in AD33. Obeying the Law and trusting (faith) the giver is how you show grace or kindness to the giver. Your obedience is meant to be your response to the gift. You can do nothing to get salvation, it is given. But in order to have less painful correction, it pays to be kind to the giver by obeying Him, King Jesus. Furthermore, some say Identity Christians have a less than gracious stance towards non-Israelites. It is true that all

things and creatures are God's creation, but the grace Jesus gave was to Israelites only; Read Romans 5:8-12. Paul tells us that by one man, death (spiritual death) came to many (all Adamic types), likewise by the wholesome lawfulness of Jesus the gift of grace was given to many of Adam's seed through Abraham. This grace or kindness is what saves, but only Israelites. Thus grace is only to us as a gift, a pearl not to be cast to the swine. Do not give the grace (given to you) to these whom it was not meant for. You do not confess Jesus to be saved, but rather you confess Him because you are saved. Romans 10:9-10 has always been misquoted by the churchy-types who treat God as a genie in a bottle; say the right words and you'll be saved. That sort of nonsense was designed to "Christianize" non-Saxons. The point is, non-Saxons were never 'saved' and therefore can never confess Jesus. This then thwarts the whole idea of claims (made by church types) that non-Saxons can be Christians. Sorry, they are not, no matter what you call them. True, many may be kind and nice but they were not saved or given grace (kindness) and therefore can not be anointed or 'Christ-ed' and thus never, ever be Christian, only a shadow or a Judeo-Christian. Any Israelite that teaches the Bible to a non-Israelite or tries to convert them is a sinner of a very bad order. It is an insult to Jesus, it gives the bread of the children He died for, to others whom He did NOT die for. It insults God for it implies that God does not know what is best by His giving of different 'spirits' religions to other races. It also insults the other races, because they love their gods. Converting other races is foolish at best, rebellion at worst. Rather, go to those Christ died for. The price for willful rebellion is death!

These fools/rebels are so full of their own self-righteousness by believing in their ability to be so full of 'love' (kindness) and being able to give this to whom it was not meet that they ignore God's Laws on hate. Those non-Israelites that wed our sons and daughters, who live and work in our lands (thereby taking the portion that belongs to Israelites, foredoing Israelites into poverty) actually hate God because they spoil those Jesus died for. Therefore, ***"I hate them (the non-Saxons that spoil us), O Lord, that hate Thee,... I hate them with a perfect hatred, I count them my foes."*** Psalm 139:21-22. ***"Shouldst thou help the ungodly and love those that hate the Lord?"*** 2 Chronicles 19:2. And for

those Judeo-Christians that help the non-saxons, *“Therefore is wrath upon thee (Israelites) from the Lord”* 2 Chronicles 19:9. But kindness to many of those that obey Jesus, through the Law.

These are overcomers who work towards the RISE of Abraham’s kin and no one else. These are Elijah types, anointed types, Jesus Christ’s body, booth (Beth-house-tabernacle) types. The ones that will replace the present ruling class. They will rule with Jesus to restore in fullness all that was lost, that which was taken from our folk, doing unto Babylon double as she has done unto us. Taking back all the lands we lost under church rule, unbinding the melting-pot and all the paganism that goes with it, from daft fashions to Rock ‘n’ Roll to modern art/architecture to man’s laws, parliaments, central governments and so forth. There will be a united nations, but not the melting-pot version of Esau, rather a oneness (united) of Jacob, Abraham’s kin, one no matter where they live. ‘I have a dream’, to see my folk obeying Jesus, as one cry and as one song. AMEN!

TO BE ADDED:

“To Spue or Not To Spue”

Spewing is a tasteless subject, yet very Biblical. Its too tasteless for today’s effeminate dainty churchleaders, and never understood by churches throughout history, but they are responsible for kicking in the laws of spewing. The Law (of God) states that punishment must befit the crime. Too often our folk have looked back at calamities that have befallen them and asked: “what have we done to deserve this?” Case in point: A “White-Indonesian” (that is, an Indonesian born white - an Israelite) who was forced into a concentration camp with some 10,000 other white Indonesians during WWII (run by the Japs) wondered, ‘Why us? When will God help us?’

The Japs ran many concentration camps, each holding as many as 10,000 white people, cramped into the most unclean conditions in which starvation was a daily punishment whilst the guards ate the Red Cross food parcels, or fed fresh fruit to pet apes while dying whites ooked on. Beatings and other abuses were daily happenings for petty things such as not bowing to a Jap guard properly, serving no purpose other than to make camp masters feel superior. Boys ten years and over were taken to

boys camp where many were worked to death in slave labour extermination camps. These folks were rounded up, taken and locked away and tortured to rid the land of them, based on one criteria only ... they were white!

If it were any other folks than white victims, we'd speak of ethnic

God's warnings to obey his laws are not to be taken lightly as this ghastly picture proves. These skin and bone boys are looking through rubbish for food in Si Ringo Ringo death camp 1942-1945 in Netherlands East Indies. They look like they could be one of our children... but they are... they're kin...



cleansing, or the Final Solution. The Dutch (Israelites) or (white-Indonesians if you like) were subject to this slow genocide. On average, the death rate per camp per month was between 75 to 100 whites a day. Too often we ask the question "*if there is a God why would He allow this?*" Better phrasing would be, "*because there is a God WHY did He bring this on?*"

It's to do with the law of spewing. As I have shown in this book, we never drove the heathen out. We always felt we knew better than God, that we understand the heathen better than He. And ... also the fact that Israelites have always wanted cheap labour to do things for them. So what happens - we (Israelites) become their 'cheap or slave labour'! Even in the Church Age, or pentecostal age in which we were meant to have the Law (of God) written on our hearts, we made our own laws and wrote these instead on our hearts. Such a move then required that we choose kings and priests to represent those laws; they represented our heart idols, or heart nature, a return to the wickedness of Gilgal.

Because we did not drive out the heathen when God gave us the chance and ordered us when He gave us the 'Key of David' (Rev 3:7, Isa 22:15-25) we became subject to corrective laws that had to deal with our disobedience. The punishment had to fit that crime. Thus, if we would not drive out the heathen, the punishment is that they drive us out! The 'Key of David' is written in the account relating to the 6th church age in which the churches started the missionary societies and their kings/governments were in the throes of colonization zeal. But when our folk (with the Key of David, i.e. taking dominion in Jesus' name), the Spanish took land in South America, they never drove out the heathen. When the Potuguese took land in South America, Africa and elsewhere, they never drove out the heathen. When the Dutch took land in South and North America, Africa and Indonesia, they never drove out the heathen. When the French took land in North America, Africa and South East Asia, they never drove out the heathen. When the English took land in North America, Africa, Asia, Australia and New Zealand, they never drove out the heathen. All the above were our kin of Israelite stock, all rebelled against God's orders.

As I have pointed out before, instead they teamed up with the heathen to drive out other Israelite kin who they saw not as brothers but as


rival foes! Thus, God's children fought God's children to death and despised the good lands God gave them by not allowing God's inheritance (namely Israelite children) to inherit those lands. British (Israelites) would join Jews (Canaanites) to drive out French (Israelites) or Dutch (Israelites) and the other way around.

All the while the heathen whom God promised to drive out (spew out) benefitted by this, for in time God would give these the authority to spew our disobedient folk out. What else could/can the Sovereign Land-Lord do?

When we don't drive out the heathen, we begin to take on their ways. (even to Christians painting Aboriginal artifacts, to be sold to tourists as authentic). Christians may poo-poo this but it's true. Where does Jazz, Rock-'N-Roll, Ramba Samba come from? Or today's art and architecture, or pagan festivals? We even import their words and make them our own (kangaroo, dugon, satay, tsunami, and so on), our fashions take on their influence and even our entire system of government (namely, man-kings). Thus the whole nation is won over to heathenism because central government with its system of man-kings is heathen. Churches love to talk of spiritual Israelites, the truth is, **we become spiritual Canaanites** ('gay' mardi-gras, etc).

As pointed out earlier, we said *"Give us a king like all the other nations (or heathen)."* And therefore our Parliaments (towers of Babel) have non-Israelites in them, despite the Law that *"Thou mayest not set a stranger (zûwr - racial alien) over thee which is not thy brother (kin)."* Deut 17:15. In Netherlands Indies, as in so many other Israelite held lands, we let the zûwr rule over us and we even did 'wed and bed' them.

"Defile not ye yourselves in any of these things: for in all these the nations are defiled which I sent out before you." Lev 18:24. Yet we defiled our selves with the zûwr.... *"Neither shalt thou lie with any beast to defile thyself therewith."* Elsewhere (Lev 20:15) the Law states that both Israelite and 'beast' are to be put to death for this misdeed. But think, the beast would have to have the mental means to give approval to the sexual misdeed, otherwise it is deemed rape. And if the deed is rape, the Law states that a rape victim is to go free. Yet in this case there is mutual consent. Can an animal give consent? This "beast" means a 'created-being' in the sense of "beast of the field," which is a Hebrew idiom



Before the Japs invaded Netherland&East Indies, many Dutch-Saxons (Israelites) lived ethnically mixed as this pre-WWII shot shows...and they were proud of it.

for 'hunters and gatherers.' You can not ask approval, or a date, let alone wed and bed a horse, cow, camel or Giraffe; but you can physically do all the above with a hunter and gatherer, or Beast of the Field. That law in Leviticus 18 clearly deals with race-mixing. Race-mixing and therefore lying with the 'Beast of the Field' was common in Netherlands Indonesia as it was in all saxon (Israelite) lands. Those that didn't wed and bed them, thought nothing of those that did.


The greater the 'defilement' the swifter God's wrath. Lev 18:25-28 *"..and the land is defiled; therefore I do visit the iniquity thereof upon it, and the land itself vomiteth out her inhabitants; Ye shall therefore keep my statutes and my judgments (namely do not make your own laws or constitutions) and shall not carry out all these revolting deeds that the land spew you not out also ..."* This very theme carries on into Jesus' rule as of AD33, for in the account of the 7 churches (during the 7th and last church age, the Laodicean outpouring) we read, *"I know thy works, that thou art neither cold nor hot,"* (they couldn't care less about God's Laws as they claimed such to be 'done away with' including the law of being Holy or separate, apart; the forbidding of racial assimilation and integration). *"So because thou art lukewarm ... I will SPEW thee out of my mouth."* Rev 2:14-16.

Those are the words of Jesus, mirroring the words of his Father, for he can do nothing but what he sees his Father do.

The Western world was and is the Church world. So when Jesus spews out a 'church' he spews out the nation that goes to that church. However painful that is, we need to say, *"Yea Lord all thy judgments are righteous."* (Ps 19:9). In the light of the Holy Writ, history becomes clear and makes logical sense, however painful. True, not everyone partook of certain crimes, but the effect of tolerating and approving those that race mix, even defending them brings you under the law that teaches that those that 'take delight in those that do them' are just as guilty (Rom 1:22). Indeed, they did not save the criminals (racemixers) from their crime (sin). And if some one did say something then no doubt that person would be labelled a hater, racist, white supremacist and so on.

Because the nation would not hearken unto the Lord, the Lord punishes the nation, if they would still not listen, He'd punish them 7 times

Dutch-Saxon (Israelites) in Jap run death camps,
 this was taken at Si Ringo Ringo concentration camp
 on Sumatra as part of the final solution to 'rid'
 Indonesia (N.E.I.) of Abraham's kin... our kin.



This is a drawing made by a death camp
 survivor. Our Saxon women in 'Vrouwenkamp
 Banyubiru XI' were often thus treated. The
 coloured heathen wanted these women to be
 no more for one reason alone...they were white.

more for their crimes (sins) Lev 26:18. *"I will even appoint over you terror, consumption and the burning ague, that shall consume the eyes, and cause sorrow of heart, and ye shall sow your seed in vain for your enemies shall eat it. And I will set my face against you, and ye shall be slain before your enemies, they that hate you shall reign over you ... "* *"Turn you from your evil ways (ie living by man's laws) for why will you die, O house of Israel?"* (Eze 33:11). *"He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law (God's Law, that is, so that would be nearly all Saxons), even his prayer shall be abomination."* (Prov 28:9) Churches and church leaders should take particular note of that. *"My folk are destroyed for lack of knowledge (which knowledge? about who runs the banks? NO! Rather lack of knowledge of God's Laws!) I WILL ALSO REJECT YOU ... seeing you have forgotten the law of your God (that's the crux of it) ... I will also FORGET YOUR CHILDREN."* (Hos 4:6) OUCH! That's where the rubber meets the road folks - do you now understand the suffering of our folk, our children, our loved ones? Our folk are making our KING (Jesus) pretty darn angry. God made his Israelite folk to do a job. The principle is to do God's work properly or not at all.

When we choose our man-kings (PMs, Presidents, Senators, MPs, Kings, Queens, etc) we reject God. It is a heathen practice that leads to a false religion. One that claims the man-kings to have a divine right to rule; giving the priestcraft the 'divine right', leading to multi-culturalism, multi-racialism, presecution of those that speak against it and in turn God's wrath upon the 'know-it-all' nation in which even one's children die.

I take no pleasure in the pain of my kinsfolk. I wish to see them healed and their land healed. I cry inside daily at what happened to our folk, be they Saxon-(Israelites) from England, France, America, The Netherlands, Germany, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and wherever they are, but I feel as Ezra did when he discovered that despite all the chastisement from God, our folk carry on doing what brought on God's wrath. Don't you care enough to repent (change your ways)? For if you don't, your children and their children's children will suffer greatly. Thank God the churches are dying, for they are the ones that kept us in the dark so that we would die. And start keeping your geneal-

ogy recorded, you may need it in the future!

Away with Churchianity and its 'other' Jesus; get out of them lest you be partakers of her plagues. Christianity is not found in the churches. Two thousand years has proven this to be true. For after 2000 years, where is the Law of God in the land, where are the blessings God promises? Ask the victims of Netherlands East Indies if the churches saved them. The churches not only withheld blessing, they also brought about curses, be they Anglican, Dutch Reformed, Catholic, Mormon, Jehovah's Witnesses, Seventh Day Adventist, Uniting, and so on.

The Holy Writ makes it clear that the church age is made up of 7 out-workings. When the last out-working ends, there will be no more church ages. There will be no church revival, no church reformation. The new Christian work, or second work of Jesus being the fullness of the Ghost (spirit, mindset) will show itself forth through the overcomers, the body of Christ, the called out ones, the ones refined like gold those the churches slandered. They are overcomers, the churches and their followers are the overcomees. The churches have been the manifestation of the 'spiritual Canaanite' in us, that is to say they mirror the heart nature of the masses, but the heart is deceptive above ALL things. This leaves no room for the church-made boogie-man, mr. S.A. Tan. By blaming the *superspook* boogie-man, the churches have failed to save the nations of Celto-Saxon Israelites by breeding a pass-the-buck culture of "the Devil made me do it." Whilst the real devils: king, priest, moguls delivered us into the hands of the heathen.

And our folk loved it so; that's right, because we loved not the Law of God. Jesus has a bone to pick with you, descendant of Jacob. We are to turn to His Law, and fear God not mr. S.A. Tan.

The churches have caused Abraham's kin to fall time and again. Now that they are out the way, Jesus together with His body will cause Abraham's kin to rise ... again ... and FOREVER!

Each church age has a remnant, called-out-ones or over-comers. These are symbolised by the First Fruits that were brought to God on the original yearly three feast times. These folk are mentioned in each of the church ages of Revelation, they are called OUT of the churches. The churches claimed to rule with Jesus but instead they ruled with high finance and Man-kings. But the first fruit folk have been called out and

brought (presented) to the true king. They will rule with Jesus, then all the lands where Jacob had trodden his feet will be returned to him. So wherever our folk have been, those lands will be given back, for then we will please - not the U.N., or any other Tower of Babel, but the Land-LORD and His Son. Then we'll sing a New Song to Yahweh. Halleluyah!

(pictures in this last chapter taken from "Beelden van de Japanse Bezetting van Indonesië.")

The Pentecostal age would be covered by The Seven Churches. It would be an age when men would demand to be ruled by men rather than God. Israel was meant to be covered by God Himself; but because they had refused His covering, preferring to be covered by man, God had removed His covering from them.

Choosing man-kings meant that we became equal to all the other races because we chose a king "like all the other nations." So when we went to war our price was simply that of our own physical means, their strength against our strength. That is why so many of our kin die in countless wars. This will change with the Booth Age when all man-kings are dethroned and only the last enthroned Jesus will be yielded to.

THE END

LATEST UNCOVERINGS

Many folks are at a loss to understand why the churches don't uphold God's Laws when they say they do; or folks are dismayed at politicians, all of whom don't know God's Law, even though they swear to uphold constitutions which were drawn up under the churches' watchful eyes. But the polies are not in rebellion to the constitutions, nor is the queen to the coronation oath, even though they rebel against God. Our folk fail to understand 'double-speak'.

When the establishment cronies and cliques say 'divine' or 'god's law', they do not mean the laws as spelled out by the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, as seen in the Old and New Testaments of the Bible. No, they mean church law. The churches, like the Pharisees have said, God's Bible Laws are done away with. We all too often attack the Rabbis, but the Judeo-christians (not to be confused with Christians) say the same as the Rabbis, namely that the Old Testament is for old women, children and fools. Even the best of Christian men who are brought up in church doctrine have always failed our Saxon-Israel folk, even such sharp minds as Thomas Jefferson, who drew up the United States Declaration of Independence. He worked on many unscriptural bills of law that affect our folks today, such as getting rid of the first-born inheritance rights.

The Coronation Oath, and the Australian Constitution, and all such western constitutions and charters are based on 'divine' law as the establishment cronies will tell us, but this is because the churches believe and teach that church law IS divine, and replaced God's Laws. In 536 AD, the church decreed that it's law was to be the law of the land. They enthroned kings and blessed those that swore to uphold church law. Remember, God's Bible Law was officially seen as a curse against people. Church law was being formulated before 536 AD, but in 536 the church was in a position to enforce its law in all of Christendom. The protestant 'breakaways' never (when they founded their own churches) said: 'Let us return to Bible Law.' Instead they simply tinkered with the bits of (catholic) church laws they did not like and followed down the same rebellious, rancid, Pharisaic path.

Always they said 'we are saved to do these abominations,' they never understood why His Majesty Jesus cursed our nations over and

over and over again with devastating plagues and increasingly shocking wars. The protestant age (i.e. the church age) saw the various constitutions which all claim God's blessing, yet few of their points are Biblical (see Adam's article on this- and listen to the tape "Should We Be Kind To The Churches" #AC-2053, from CIM)

All these documents (indeed any establishment document) have been drawn up in blindness to God's Bible Laws, yet in the 'negative light' (darkness) of church 'divine' (sic) law.

Some argue that King Alfred brought in God's laws, but case law soon overlaid all this and with the invasion of William the 'Overrunner' (agent of the Holy Roman Empire) catholic law came into Britain. Williams job was to bring Britain into the fold. This further removed King Alfred's law to a dim memory. The Anglican Church did not replace catholic law with Bible Law, but only with Anglican law. Proof of this is in the pudding.

The Boers (Suid Afrika) were Christian. Ideally this means they are loyal underlings of King Jesus. If the British were loyal underlings to King Jesus, then why would Britain need to take Suid Afrika? After all, the Boers would have already yielded a part of the Earth to King Jesus. And, King Jesus' Law also says that we are not to Covet anything that is our neighbors! Yet this was clearly a similar case to king Ahab coveting Naboth's vineyard - and being willing to kill to take it.

But the British did not see the Boers as loyal underlings of the queen, Because the queen served a different Jesus, and a different gospel. Her Jesus was only a poor likeness made by the Anglican Church. This 'other Jesus' was subject to Anglican law.

Christians are so in love with the words 'Christian' or 'divine' that when a document is spawned by the minds of 'loyal underlings of Church law,' these Christians rise up to defend these regulatory engraven images. But these literary 'images' or 'likeness' to God's Bible Laws resemble God's law only insomuch that they are a list of do's and dont's, and use the words Christian, divine, or other smokescreen words to beguile us. As long as Christians manifest church law, parliamentary law or democracy, the meaning of life will never be made clear. Thus, what we now see is, that the mind serves the flesh; rather than the flesh being a tool to serve the mind. The material world was created to serve God and

not the other way around.

In the last year-hundred we have seen the church age fall to the Edomite age, thank God. Because this is when Esau throws off Jacob's yoke. But it is only for a short while. When Esau has laid waste all trust in Church law, then the 'slate is wiped clean' for God's Bible Laws. Those who love God's Bible Law have been in training for some 30 years, they are being made ready for H.R.H. King Jesus to carry out his 2nd work as his loyal underlings, together with those of the first resurrection. That is the RISE of Abraham's Kin.



ADAM DE WITT was born of emigrant parents who huddled many a night under the stairs of their town house whilst bombs rained down, laying waste their once lovely city. At the age of eleven his family was again on the move leading to a life of dwelling in several countries and visiting many others besides. In all he visited 30 lands, studying their histories and gaining first hand experiences of how the world 'ticks'. His higher education was in European colleges and his early career was in Europe's film industry where he experienced unusually hidden matters for the first time.

Although his forebears were Christians, his parents were typical of the between war generation for whom Christianity made way for socialism. Brought up as a socialist, evolutionist and humanist, Adam never even saw a Bible until his late twenties.

Upon returning to Australia he settled down to raise a family. Christianity came to his door by way of numerous zealots doing their evangelical rounds. He listened to what they had to say, and became curious to see what other denominations had to say. He soon found that they all seemed to disagree with each other and none seriously understood or studied the Holy Writ enough to overcome their differences. It wasn't long before he was confronted with British Israelitism. Yet here too he found some short comings. That lead to 'Identity' but here too there were differences of understanding and attitude.

It became clear that Christianity is a growing process for although God's will and plan is fixed we as a people are not; we need to grow and throw out the wrong and hold fast to the truth.

THE FALL AND RISE OF ABRAHAM'S KIN outlines the reasons why our folk have risen and fallen and have been removed from many parts of the world never to return to date. Yet for each removal (resulting often in millions of deaths) we as a folk never seemed to learn. The pattern of our 'removals' has always been the same. The patterns take place even today. Few understand them, recognise them or are willing to take heed. Yet the day will come when we will no longer fall, the trend will only be to Rise, regaining all that was once lost.